34
102
129
205
212

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

DEFENDANT

Alexander K. vs. Jeffris et al 5

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

A PLAINTIFF B

A		B		
Alexander K.	vs. Jeffries & Co.	5	Borello J. M.	vs Shain W. S. 13
Armas A. M.	" Coello J. G.	69	Baron G. A.	" Dock Lee 29
Armstrong J.	" Halstead C. C.	78	Black Anna	" Rhodes Chas. 37
Audubon A. W.	" Tannahill J. S.	153	Brickley H.	" Hubbell E. L. 60
Alvarez E. et	" Dennison H.	186	Barrett Hicks Co.	" J. Dastarac 71
Armas A. J.	" Hoelzel A.	192	Baron G. A.	" Shofer J. 77
Abbott C. W.	" Sturges B.	203	Barrett Hicks Co	" Mitrovich S. N. 82
Abkar Rosie	" Glockovich M.	205	Broce A.	" Kill J. 85
Anderson J.	" Hendrickson G. et	211	Ball E. F.	" Stonroad N. 86
			Burleigh C. M.	" Desthieux B. F. 87
			~~Packhaber C. R.~~	~~" Nunez J. F. 88~~
			Bratton T.	" Pulston W. H. 112
			Brooks O. O.	" A. T. & S. F. R. Co 118
			Barr W. T.	" Davis Wm 120
			Belt B. A.	" Meyer E. 126
			Bagley F. S.	" Paul B. K. 129
			Burke Rosie C.	" Hill C. L. et 134
			Burleigh F. J.	" Kite B. F. 136
			Brasher F. F.	" Humphill W. H. 144
			Borello. F. M.	" Howard J. 150
			Burbank A. J.	" Whitlaw J. D. 158
			Beach C. E.	" Winters E. R. et 170
			Brasher F. F.	" Gordon S. 173
			Brasher F. F.	" Faber Ed 179
			Bagley F. S.	" Webber Wm 183
			Boas J.	" Meyer J. 184
			Brasher F. F.	" Heiner J. et 187
			Brasher F. F.	" Chapman T. W. 191
			Bowling D. R. et	" Barnum H. E. 193
			Brouge A.	" Denner P. 194
			Borello F. M.	" Rose W. J. 200
			Barclay J. M.	" Eleman J. 210
			Brasher F. F.	" Maxson B. A. 219
			Borello F. M.	" Lee Yick 220
			Brasher F. F.	" Lowery J. E. 226
			" "	" Bailey H. G. 227
			" "	" Binns C. R. 228
			" "	" Bratton T. 229
			" "	" Anderson C. L. 232
			" "	" Coulisk G. 233
			Barrett Hicks Co.	" Austin L. A. 235

A DEFENDANT B

Akinian H.	×	Yup Kee	12	Bradley K.	—	Hollingshead J.A.	3
Anderson E.	×	Coe D. L.	95	Burton M.	—	Curtiss & Moore	8
Alexander K.	×	Shahpazian M.	97	Bartinian C.	×	Yup Kee	12
Atchison S. & T. R. Co.	"	Brooks A. P.	118	Bonds G. W. et.	×	Knoph Mfg. Co.	25
Atty J. H.	"	Portous J.	225	Butler R. B.	×	Carver H. D.	40
Anderson C. L.	"	Brashier T. T.	232	Bollinger Jos.	×	Paregan J. H.	44
Austin L. A.	"	Barrett Hicks Co	235	Bitger J. E.	×	Flowers H.	68
				Bimfeld B.	×	Grainger C. L.	81
				Beall J. A.	×	Fresno Milling Co	89
				Bratton T.	×	Leavitt L. S.	101
				Bacon T.	"	Sing Ah	116
				Brashier T. T.	"	Haley J. T.	125
				Barlow B. F.	"	Hammond T. J.	139
				Blasingame L. A.	"	Thornton S. A. et.	152
				Baird D.	"	Wilson T. H.	155
				Brouge A.	"	Wilson H. R.	165
				Black Mt. Ast. Co.	"	Fresno Dem. Co.	167
				Burnett T. C.	"	Moore G. L.	181
				Barnum H. E.	"	Bowling D. R.	193
				Boust E. J.	"	Hamilton R. G.	196
				Barrett C. A.	"	Miscampbell	199
				Banta A.	"	Shattuck E.	214
				Banta T. et-	"	Hockenberry J.	224
				Bailey H. G.	"	Brashier T. T.	227
				Bratton T.	"	" "	229

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

C

PLAINTIFF

D

Carlson Courier Co.	vs. Schuetz A. H.	4	
Curtiss and Moore	" Burton Mat	8	
Church D. S.	" Miner C. J.	10	
Carmichael J. F.	" Lawrence V. H.	16	
Carrere E.	" Cather C. G. et al	22	
Carrere E.	" Cather C. G. & B. R.	23	
Chance A. D.	" Gazarian H.	31	
Coon M. F.	" Bicknell W. K. et	39	
Carver H. D.	" Butler R. B.	40	
Cowan S. F.	" Jones C. H.	48	
Cowan A. B.	" Fiske C. L.	57	
Coe S. L.	" Evans J. J.	90	
Calajian J. H.	" Raby Chapman Co.	91	
Coe S. L.	" Anderson E.	95	
Carver H. D.	" Fiester Belle	105	
Collins T. E.	" Strohlin C.	137	

Dorsey R. C.	vs. Ford H. J. & E.	30	
Donahoe Emmons Co.	" Mayer Thos. J. F.	92	
Dixson N. S.	" Somerlott E. G.	103	
Degaan H.	" Gilbert A. F.	114	
Donahoe E. & Co.	" Doyle H. H.	117	
" " "	" Hebern E. H.	171	
Dow. F. J.	" Peterson L. L.	197	
Dunn E. C.	" Wright Ed	213	

Cather C.G. et al	x	Carrere E.	22	Dunn R.	x	Stevens J.T.	14
Cather C.G. & B.R.	x	" "	23	Dock Lee	x	Baron G.A.	29
Chaddock & Co.	x	Milkovich V.	33	Damon & Bacon	x	Shannon C.M.	32
Chrisman H.F.	x	Patterson A.	45	Del Rey Fig. Co	x	Sahroyan	42
Carlin A.	x	Schweyer C.A.	56	Davidian S.	x	Papasian M.H.	65
Collo J.G.	x	Covas A.M.	69	Disborough H.A.	x	Hockenberry J.	70
Clark T.C.	x	Kaehler Bros.	80	Dastarac T.	x	Barrett Hicks Co.	71
Coco J.	"	Sierra A.	104	Dusthimer	x	Burleigh C.M.	87
Chedister H.H.	"	Pedar A.J.	140	Dean W.L.	x	Fresno Milling Co	99
Craig Joe	"	Hockenberry J.	151	Dickey E.C.	x	Trobridge D.S.	102
Chambers G.L.	"	Larguier E	154	Damon E.et.	"	Sing Ah	116
Creason C.	"	George S.	156	Doyle H.H.	"	Donahue E. & Co.	117
Combs S.	"	Fraser S.T.	160	Davis Mrs	"	Barr W.T.	120
Comfort A.R.	"	Hansen Mrs L.	164	Drury E.M. et	"	Wilson T.H.	133
Covey C.H.	"	Towne A.L.	172	Dickey W.J. et	"	O'Neil W.J.	142
Chapman T.W.	"	Brasher T.T.	191	Drury E.M.	"	Taft E.M.	145
Clark G.W. et	"	K.G. Co.	201	Dunlop Mrs	"	Hockenberry J.	146
Clark E.J.	"	Olsen A.	230	Dwichis A. & Co.	—	Traves C.E.	177
Coulick G.	"	Brasher T.T.	233	Dennison H.	—	Alvares E.	186
				Downer P.	"	Bruce A.	194

C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

~~Ervin S. A.~~	44	Fraga J. L.	vs. Godman J. T.	6.
		Forbes G. E.	" Pratt H. A.	26
		Fresno County	" Spinney J. et.	36
		Flowers H.	" Bitzer J. E.	68
		Fresno Milling Co.	" Beall J. A.	89.
		Fresno Milling Co.	" Dean M. L.	99
		Fresno Loan & S. Bk.	" Edwards E. D. et	107
		Folmer D.	" Emerzian R	121
		Fresno Co. Abstract Co.	" Popovich L	135
		Fraser D. F.	" Combs S.	160
		Jack D. A.	" Thompson C. M.	166
		Fresno Democrat	" Bk. Mutual Ass Co.	167
		First Nat. Bank	" Wright E.	182
		First Nat. Bank	" Hughes J. E. et	185

Erwin S.A.	×	Thompson M.M.	41	Fuller G.W.	×	S.F. & F. Land Co.	17
Evans J.J.	×	Coe C.S.	90	Ford H.J. & E.	×	Dorsey R.C.	30
Edwards E.D.	"	F.L. & Sav. Bk	107	Fiehn C.L.	×	Cowan A.B.	57
Emerjian R.	"	Folmer P.A.	121	Fresno County	×	E. Herwick	67
Evangelho J.P.	"	Huffman M.D.	176	Fister Belle	"	Carver H.D.	105
Ellis R.W. & C.F.	"	Williams F.	178	Faber E.	"	Brashier F.F.	179
Eddy H.	"	Hilton A.R.	189	Fuller G.W.	"	Snow A.E.	180
Elsman John	"	Barclay J.M.	210	Ford H.J.	"	Kearney Vyd Co.	216
Eden W.W.	"	Anderson J.	211				

E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

Goslinor N.A.	vs.	Neil W. R.	27	Herlwick Ed.	vs.	Rice J. W.	2
Graff H. & Co.	"	Spankie G. W.	28	Hollingshead J.A.	"	Bradley K.	3
Goodman S.B.	"	Nelson Jas.	46	Hagopian J.	"	Smart J.A	11
Goodman S.B.	"	Nelson H. C.	47	Herlwick E.	"	Smart T. J.	54
Graff H. & Co.	"	Wagner C. T.	49	Harris E. M.	"	Swickard J.W.	58
Gagossian S.A.	"	Sarroukan B.S.	50	Hockenberry J.	"	Shanklin J.W.	59
Goslinor Bros.	"	Gobin A. T.	64	Hassin Jas.	"	Muldoon H.	62
Grady A. N.	"	Quinston W.N.	76	Herlwick E.	"	Fresno County	67
Grainger L. L.	"	Binfield B	81	Hockenberry J.	"	Desborough W	70
Giusti T.	"	Sartori G.	111	Hansen H. J.	"	Merritt J. H.	115
George S.	"	Creason C.	156	Haley J. T.	"	Brashur T. T.	125
Gans Bros.	"	Sahlinger Bros.	204	Hammond T. J.	"	Barlow B. T.	139
German Sav. & L. Assn	"	Schilling J.	221	Hockenberry J.	"	Dunlop Wm	146
				Hickman L.	"	Egan B	147
				"	"	" C	148
				Hockenberry J.	"	Craig Joe	151
				Hemphill G.	"	Trimble C.	161
				Holland W.B.	"	Wilson H.R.	163
				Hansen Mrs. L.	"	Comfort A.R	164
				Holland W.B.	"	Hopkins J.K.	175
				Huffman M.D.	"	Evangielo J.P.	176
				Hilton A. R.	"	Eddy H.	189
				Hamilton R.A.	"	Boust E. J.	196
				Herlweck E.	"	Scott T. P.	209
				Hayden T. M.	"	Swiggett W.	217
				Hilton A. R.	"	Joy J. M.	222.
				Hockenberry J.	"	Banta T.	224

G DEFENDANT H

Godman J.T.	—	Fraga J.L.	6	Hubbell E.L.	×	Brickley H.	60
Graham + Moffatt	×	Toby + Holladay	24	Hart L. et al	×	Hamekros A.H.	72
Gazarian H.	×	Chance A.D.	31	Halstead C.C.	×	Armstrong J.	78
George S.	×	Jorgenson L.P.	34	Hop. Kee	×	Lem Ah	94
Grace M.S.A.	×	Souza M.	38	Holliday C.	"	Johnson J.S.	122
Gobin A.F.	×	Gosliner	64	Hare G.A.	"	Phoenix Pkg Co.	127
Grunetto J.	×	Menetto C.N.	75	Hayes C.H.	"	Remy J.	131
Gilbert P.F.	"	Degarn H.	114	Hill C.L.	"	Burks B.C.	134
Green F.	"	Valley Lumber Co	143	Hogue S.L.	"	Lewis M.	138
Garabedian J.	"	Vaughn C.W.	157	Humphill V.H.	"	Brashe F.F.	144
Gordon S.	"	Brasher F.F.	173	Hardell E.H.	"	Owens J.	149
Glass E.S.	"	Morgan + Fletcher	190	Howard J.	"	Borello F.M.	150
				Hebern E.H.	"	Donahoo E. + Co	171
				Hopkins J.R.	"	Holland J.K	175
				Hughes J.E. et	"	1st Nat. Bk	185
				Hoelzel A.	"	Owens A.J.	192
				Hayes B.S. + Co	"	Porter M.F.	195
				Howell J.W.	"	Kuno F.	207
				Hayes B.S.	"	Jones J.M.	208
				Hendrickson J.	"	Anderson J.	211
				Howard Mrs	"	Ritchey R.E.	212

G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

I

PLAINTIFF

J

Jones W. J. vs. Lambie C. K.	Jorgensen L. P.	vs George S.	34	Jon
	Johnson J. S.	" Holliday C.	122	Jo
	Johansen H.	" Keil J.	159	
	Jones J. W.	" Hayes B. S.	208	

I J

DEFENDANT

44	Irrebery D.	x Terras A. J.	20	Jeffries Leo.	— Alexander K.	5
2	Sto Bros.	" Richter J.	110	Jones O. M.	x Cowan S. F.	48
59				Julian A. er	" Stwart C. E.	~~49~~
08				Joy J. M.	" Hilton A. R.	222

I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

K

PLAINTIFF

L

Krog J. J.	vs	Knudsen H.	15	Lee Ah.	vs.	Hop. Kee	94
Krogh Mfg. Co.	"	Bonds G. W. et.	25	Leavitt L. S.	"	Bratton T.	101
Kahler Bros.	"	Walker W. G.	53	Lewis M.	"	Hogue S. L.	138
Kohler Geo. et al	"	Thomas W. A	79	Larquier E.	"	Chambers G. L.	154
Kahler Bros.	"	Clark F. C.	80	Lindsey L. C.	"	Parrett A-et	215
Knight D. S.	"	Kurkjian H.	106				
Kirkner J.	"	Sachse C. S.	113				
Kern John	"	Melhorn E.	169				
Kutner Goldstein Co.	"	Clark G. W.	201				
Kruse F.	"	Howell J. W.	207				
Kearney Vyd. Syndicate Co.	"	Ford H. J.	216				

Knudsen H.	×	Krog J. J.	15	Laubie C. K. vs.		Inns M. J.	1
Kill J.	×	Boose H.	85	Lawrence V.	×	Carmichael J. T.	16
Kurkjian H.	"	Knight L. L.	106	Leader P.	"	Phoenix Mfg. Co.	119
Kite B. T.	"	Burleigh T. J.	136	Lawson B. T.	"	Rudike B. D.	123
Kill J.	"	Johannsen H.	159	Lyons Geo.	"	Radin H.	168
Kamakawa Bros.	"	Moore J. H.	162	Lee Yick	"	Borello T. M.	220
Kerr J. M. et	"	1st Nat. Bk	185	Lang E. B.	"	Schweizer Co.	223
Kennidgian B.	"	McGinnis J.	218	Lowry J. E.	"	Brasher T. T.	226

K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

M

PLAINTIFF

N

Mitkovich V.	vs.	Chaddock & Co.	33	Nilmeier C.	vs.	Wilson J.	21
Muller M. W.	"	Shaw Wm. et	35	Nelson Elias.	"	Wilson Bert	61
Mennett C. W.	"	Gronnett J.	75				
McGinniss D. A.	"	Santa Clara Co.	83				
Madison & Bonner	"	Levey A. L.	84				
Miner C. J.	"	Ramsey G. S.	93				
Mack A.	"	Sullivan M.	96				
Martin B.	"	Turner H. A.	100				
Mitchell J. E.	"	White H.	108				
Mooradian M.	"	Sweet S.	109				
Malaga Pkg. Assn	"	Westman W. J.	128				
Moore G. L.	"	Wong Chung Man	141				
Moore J. H.	"	Kawakawa Bro.	162				
Moore J. L.	"	Bennett T. C.	181				
Morgan & Fletcher	"	Glass E. S.	190				
Miscampbell J. H. et	"	Barrett C. A.	199				
Melchonian A. J.	"	Echo W. J.	202				
McGinnis J.	"	Kermidgian B.	219				

DEFENDANT

Miner C. J.	x	Church D. S.	10	Nic N. R.	x	Goslin N. A.	27
Muldoon H.	x	Hassin J.	62	Nelson Jas.	x	Goodman S. B.	46
Mack Chas.	x	Virgin G. W.	66	Nelson N. C.	x	" "	47
Mitrovich S. N.	x	Barrett Hicks Co.	82	Nuneas J. T.	x	Buckhaber C. P.	88
Mayne Mrs. J. T.	x	Donaldson Emmons Co	92	Nettleton Geo.	"	Anderson	211
Merritt J. N.	"	Hanson N. J.	115				
Meyer C.	"	Bell B. A.	126				
Mellhorn C.	"	Kern John	169				
Myer Geo.	"	Boas J.	184				
McPherson T.	"	Van Tassell J. W.	206				
Masson B. A.	"	Brasher T. T.	219				

PLAINTIFF

Ohannasian J.G.	vs. Raby Chapman Co	130	
O'Neil W.J.	" Dickey W.J. et	142	
Owens J.	" Hardell E.H.	149	
Olsen A.	" Clark E.J.	230	
Ouckhaber C.R.	vs. Shain W.S.	9	
Pierson G. & J.	" Smith & Dixon	18	
"	" " "	19	
Parrigan J.H.	" Bollinger Jos.	44	
Patterson Arthur	" Chrisman H.T.	45	
Papasian M.H.	" Davidson S.	65	
Ouckhaber C.R.	" Inman J.T.	88	
Pirina A.	" Leoco J.	104	
Phoenix Pkg. Co.	" Leader P.	119	
" "	" Hare G.A.	127	
Pedlar A.J.	" Chidister W.H.	140	
Porter M.T.	" Hayes B.S.	195	
Porteous J.	" Atty J.H. et	225	
" "	" Sweet S.	231	

Ogan B.	x	Hickman L	147	Peterson Gus.	— Powell & Johnson 7
" O	"	"	148	Pratt H.A.	x Forbes G.E. 26.
Ochs W.J. et al	"	Melchonian A.J.	202	Pickerell N.K. et.	x Leon M.T. 39.
				Pulston W.H.	x Grady A.N. 76
				Pulston W.H.	" Bratton J. 112
				Petit G.	" Stewart C.E. 124
				Paul B.H.	" Bagley T.S. 129
				Popovich L.	" Trust Co. Abs. Co. 135
				Pierce C.D. et.	" O'Neil W.J. 142
				Perret E.	" Vincent J. 188
				Peterson L.L.	" Doe T.J. 197
				Parrett A. et al	" Lindsey L.C. 215

O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

Q

R

PLAINTIFF

Rowell & Johnson	vs. Peterson Gus	7
Richter J.	" Ito Bros.	110
Remy John	" Hayes C. H.	131
Radin H.	" Lyons Geo	168
Ritchey W. E.	" Howard Wm	212.

Rice J. W.	+ Hartwick Ed.	2
Rhodus Chas	x Black Anna	37
Rubin H.	x St John E. F.	51
Rourke W. L.	x Sherwood H. P.	74
Raley Chapman Co.	x Calaijian J. H.	91
Ramsey G. S.	x Miner C. J.	93
Raley Chapman Co.	x Chamasian J. J.	130
Ridley L. C.	" Trumblood J.	198
Ross W. J.	" Borello F. M.	200

S T

PLAINTIFF

Stevens J.F.	vs.	Dunn R	14	Terras A.J.	vs.	Ivelberry D.	20
S.F. & Fresno Land Co.	"	Fuller G.W.	17	Tobin & Holaday	"	Graham & Moffatt	211
Shannon C.M.	"	Damon & Bacon	32	Thompson M.M.	"	Erwin S.A.	41
Souza M.	"	Grau M.S. & G.	38	Tripp J.B.	"	Sydno D.S.	52
Sahroyan M.	"	Del. Ry Pkg. Co.	42	Tajian J.	"	Tajian H.	73
Smith F.J.	"	Seymour Geo	43	Trobridge D.S.	"	Dicky E.C.	102
St. John E.J.	"	Rubin H.	51	Taft E.M.	"	Drury E.M.	145
Schweizer C.A.	"	Carlin A.	56	Thornton S.A.	"	Blasingame L.A.	152
Stanroad N.P.	"	Rourke W.L.	74	Towne A.L.	"	Covey C.H.	172
Shalpajian M.	"	Alexander K.	97	Traves C.E.	"	Dvichis Geo.	177
Sachse C.	"	Jannahill J.S.	98	Trueblood J.	"	Ridley L.C.	198
Singh	"	Bacon F. et al	116				
Stewart C.E.	"	Petit G. et al	120				
Snow A.E.	"	Fuller G.W.	180				
Shattuck E.	"	Banta A.	214				
Schweizer C.A.	"	Lang E.B.	223				

DEFENDANT

Schultz A.H.	— Carlson Courier Co.	4
Shain W.S.	x Bucklaber C.R.	9
Sweet J.A.	x Hagopian J.	11
Shain W.S.	x Borello F.M.	13
Smith & Dixon	x Pierson G. & J.	18
" " "	x " " "	19
Spankie G.W.	x Graff H. & Co.	28
Shaw Wm. et al	x Muller M.W.	35
Spinney Jos. et al	x Tuolumne County	36
Seymour Geo.	x Smith F.J.	43
Sarrouhan B.S.	x Gagossian S.A.	50
Sydnor C.S.	x Tripp J.B.	52
Smart F.J.	x Hartwick E.	54
Swickard J.W. et	x Harris E.M.	58
Shanklin J.W.	x Hockenberry J.	59
Smith J.H.	x Webster M.	63
Shafer J.	x Barow G.A.	77
Santa Clara Oil Co	x McGinnis P.A.	83
Sivey A.L.	x Madison & Bonner	84
Stoneroad Wm	x Ball E.F.	86
Sullivan M.	x Mack A.	96
Somerlott E.G.	x Dixon W.S.	103
Sweet S.	" Mooradian M.	109
Sartori G.	" Giusti F.	111
Sachse C.S.	" Kirkner J.	113
Stroblin C.	" Collins F.E.	137
Spinney J.H.	" O'Neil W.J.	142
Swain W.S.	" Walker J.M.	174
Sturges B.	" Abbott C.W.	203
Salkinger Bros.	" Gans Bros.	204
Scott T.P. et al	" Hartwick E.	209
Swiggett Wm	" Hayden F.M.	217
Schilling J.	" German S. & L. Soc.	221
Simms C.R.	" Brasher F.F.	228
Sweet S.	" Porteous J.	231
Schultz A.H.	" Zuckerman M.W.	234
~~Shain W.S.~~	~~x Bucklaber C.R.~~	~~9~~
~~Sweet J.A.~~	~~x Hagopian J.~~	~~11~~
Tajian H.	x Tajian J.	73
Thomas H.A.	x Kohler G. et al	79
Tannahill J.S.	x Sachse C.	98
Turner H.A.	x Martin B.	100
Tannahill J.S.	" Anderson A.H.	153
Trumble C.	" Temple G.	161
Thompson C.M.	" Falk S.C.	166

U V

PLAINTIFF

Virgin G.M. vs. Mack Elias. 66
Van Dike B.D. " Lawson B. F. 123
Valley Lumber Co. " Greene F. 143
Vaughn C.H. " Garabedian J. 157
Vincent J. " Perret E. 188
Van Tassel J.H. " McPherson F. 206

U V.

DEFENDANT

6
23
43
57
88
06

PLAINTIFF

~~Wilson~~			~~63~~
Webster M.	vs.	Smith J. H	63
Wanekross A. N.	"	Hart L. et	72
Wilson J. H.	"	White Ray.	132
"	"	" Drury E. Mct.	133
"	"	" Baird D.	155
Wilson H. R.	"	Brouge A.	165
Walker J. M.	"	Sisun H. S.	174
Williams J.	"	Ellis R. W. et	178

DEFENDANT

Watson W. et al.	x	Carmichael J. T.	16
Wilson J.	x	Nilmeier	21
Wilson G. A. et al	x	Mueller M. H.	30
Wilber S. R.	x	" "	35
Wagner C. F.	x	Graff H. & Co.	49
Walker W. G.	x	Kaehler Bros.	53
Wilson S. E.	x	Young J. E.	55
Wilson Bert	x	Nelson Chas.	61
White H.	"	Mitchell J. E.	108
Westman W. J.	"	Malaga Pkg. Assn.	128
White Ray	"	Wilson T. H.	132
Wong Choung Man	"	Moore G. L.	141
Whitlaw J. D.	"	Burbank A. J.	158
Wilson H. R.	"	Holland W. B.	163
Winters E. R.	"	Beach C. E.	170
Wright E.	"	1st Nat. Bk	182
Webber Wm	"	Bagley T. S.	183
Weimer J. & Co.	"	Brasher T.	187
Wright Ed.	"	Dunn E. C.	213

Y Z

PLAINTIFF

Yupton vs Atkinson et al 12 Jackerman Mc. N. - Schultz 9 N. 234
Young J. E. " Wilson D. E. 55

Y Z

DEFENDANT

Glockovich M. — Abkar R 205

PLAINTIFF

No. 2331.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Margaret J. Inns — Plaintiff

vs.

C. K. Lambie — Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery

Dir. Pwp.

Demand $ 175.00

W. K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

A. W. Doue — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Dumas.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sept 24		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 25		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this T.P. on Sep. 24th 1901	
" 30		Defendant files demurrer	
Oct. 3		Affidavit and undertaking in claim and delivery of personal property filed showing service	
Nov. 26		Defendant by his attorney enters a general denial.	
Dec. 6		Parties in court represented by their attorneys and stipulate that this case be dismissed each party paying his own costs. So ordered.	

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

2

No. 2332.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ed. Hostwick — Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Rice — Defendant

Action Upon Open Note

Demand $206.25 & Int & atty fee

M. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Sept 24 Complaint filed and summons issued

" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed

" " Writ of attachment issued

Oct. 1 Alias Writ of attachment issued

" 9 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at the 10th Township, Fresno County on Sept. 26th 1901.

" " It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant J. W. Rice personally, at and in the 10th Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 26th day of Sept 1901 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: 10 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said J. W. Rice defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $206.25 principal, $19.25 interest, $25.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $13.30 Total Judgement $263.80

Done in open court
this OCT 9 1901

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Oct. 9 Execution issued & del. to Hock.

OCT 17 1901

Received, $262.00

In Full Payment

Above Judgment

Ed Hostwick
Plaintiff.

Justice Fee		4.00
Const Dennis Fee		7.80
" Dumas		1.50
		$13.30

" 9 Order made in Sup. Proceedings

" 17 Affidavit & order in Sup. Proceedings filed

No. 2333.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. A. Hollingshead — Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs. K. Bradley — Defendant

Action on a/c.

Demand $ 10.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sept. 26		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	

4

No. 2334.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Carlson Currier Co.
(a corporation)
Plaintiff

vs.

A. H. Schultz
Defendant

Action Upon an a/c

Demand $ 19.79

W. J. Porter
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Sept 27		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" "		Now comes plaintiff by his attorney and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

SEP 27 1901
Received, $ 19.79
In Full Payment
Above Demand
W. J. Porter
Pltf's Atty

No. 2335.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

K. Alexander — Plaintiff

vs.

Jeffries Leo. and C. Jeffries — Defendant

Action upon o/c.

Demand $ 100.25

W. A. Conn
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sept 30		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
Oct. 3		Now comes plaintiff by his attorney and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

E. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

6

No. 2336

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. L. Fraga — Plaintiff

vs.

J. T. Godman — Defendant

Action: upon a/c

Demand $ 28.85

Ewarts & Ewing — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901		PROCEEDINGS.
		Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $50.00 " " 2.00 — Deft. Pd. $ 15.75 Dumas Fees $ 2.00 Dumas Justice 13.75 2 11.75
Oct.	1	Complaint filed and summons issued
"	"	Affidavit for attachment filed
"	"	Plaintiff deposits $50.00 in U.S. Gold coin in lieu of undertaking for attachment
"	"	Writ of attachment issued
"	2	Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Jp. on Oct. 2/1901

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant J. T. Godman personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 2nd day of Oct. 1901 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said J. T. Godman defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $28.85 principal. $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.50 Total Judgement $ 35.35

L. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this OCT 8 1901

Oct. 8 Execution issued, & del. to Dumas

" 18 Upon motion of plaintiff above judgment is credited with $14.20

OCT 18 1901

Received, $ 50.00 11.75 61.75

In Full Payment

Above Dep. 50.00 & Part Pay. Jug't. 11.75

J. L. Fraga

No. 2337.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G B Rowell and G L Johnson copartners doing business under the firm name and style of Rowell and Johnson Plaintiff

vs.

Guss Peterson Defendant

Action: upon Promis. Note.

Demand $ 27.50 + Int + Atty fee

F. Kauke
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Oct. 1		Complaint filed and summons issued	

1.11.75

8

No 2376.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Curtiss and Moore
Plaintiff

vs.

Mat Burton
Defendant

Action: Upon acct.

Demand $ 9.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 1		Complaint filed and summons issued	

No. 2339.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Chas. R. Buckbee
Plaintiff

vs.

W. S. Shaw
Defendant

Action upon a/c

Demand $ 36.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Pulaston	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct 2.		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
Nov. 26		Upon motion of Coleman atty. of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed	

E. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

10

No. 2340.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. S. Church — Plaintiff

vs.

C. J. Miner — Defendant

Action Money had & received

Demand $ 17.78

D. S. Church — Attorney for Plaintiff

Purston — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Purston	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Oct. 2		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" "		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Oct. 2/1901.	
" "		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	
" 4		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed.	

E. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2341.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joseph Kagopian — Plaintiff

vs.

J. A. Sweet — Defendant

Action: Upon Promissory Note

Demand $ 100.00 & Int.

E. A. Williams
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 3.00 " " $ 1.00 Rtd to pltff.	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 3		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 7		Now comes plaintiff by his attorney and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice. S. C. St. John Justice of the Peace	
" 8		Summons filed	

12

No. 2342

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Gup Kee — Plaintiff

vs.

H Akinian and C. Bartinian — Defendant

Action: Upon an a/c.

Demand $ 158.35

W. D. Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Dumas.	Deft. Pd. $165.35 Clk. " " $ Endorsed to J. W. Dumas
Oct. 3		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 4		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Oct. 4/1901	

No. 2347.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank M. Borello
Plaintiff

vs.

W. S. Shain
Defendant

Action upon an &c.

Demand $ 40.50

W. D. Crichton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Dunston.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 3		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	

14

No. 2344

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. F. Stevens and
Allie G. Stevens
Plaintiff

vs.

Richard Dunn
Defendant

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand $84.00

N. D. Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $5.50 — 1.50 Pd. to Dumas. " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Dumas	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 4		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 5		Summons returned and filed showing	
" 8		personal service upon defendant at this Township on Oct. 4 th. 1901.	

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the comp'aint was served upon the defendant ..... personally, at and in the ..... Township, County of ....., State of California, on the ..... day of .....189... and that said defendant.. ha ...not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: ..... days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff... it is ordered that the default of said ..... defendant.. be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff... it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

L.A. Rose is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and the court finds for the plaintiffs as prayed for in the complaint.

Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiffs be restored to the possession of the premises in controversy to-wit: lots 3-4-5 & 6 of Block numbered 21 of Belmont addition to the City of Fresno and that the lease under which defendant has heretofore held the said premises as tenant of said plaintiffs, be and the same is hereby forfeited. And it is further ordered and adjudged that plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $29.70 being the amount of rent due from defendant at this date together with costs herein taxed at $5.50. And it is further ordered that a writ issue, directed to the constable of this Township directing him to forthwith place said plaintiff in the possession of said premises

Void

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2345.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. J. Krog. — Plaintiff

vs.

Hans Knudsen — Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 33.50

J. J. Krog. — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Question	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 4		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 5		Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.	

16

No. 2346.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. T. Carmichael
Plaintiff

vs.

Lawrence V and
Wm Watson
Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 230.00

Kittrell & Murrian
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75	PROCEEDINGS. Burnham	Deft. Pd. $
Oct. 8		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	

No. 2347.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

San Francisco and Fresno Land Company (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

George W. Fuller Defendant

Action Forcible Entry

Demand $ 1444.00

Short & Cook Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901.	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Question	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Oct. 8		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 8		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied.	
" 16		Upon motion of plaintiff by Atty. J. E. Cook it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2348.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Grant Pierson and
Jessie Pierson
Plaintiffs.

vs.

Fred Smith & John Doe Dixon
copartners doing business under
the firm name of Smith & Dixon
Defendants.

Action: money had & received

Demand $ 9.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 6.00 " " $ Cost to be 1.75 Pd. to Burnham	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $ 18.50 Burnham
Oct. 9		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 10		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this P.M. on Oct. 9th. 1901.	
" 15		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed	

E. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

OCT 15 1901

Received, $ 14.00
In Full Payment
Above demand & costs
Grant Pierson

P. C. H.

No. 2349.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Grant Pierson and Jessie Pierson — Plaintiffs

vs.

Fred Smith and John Doe Dixon copartners doing business under the firm name of Smith & Dixon — Defendants

Action Claim & delivery of personal property

Demand $ 55.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1901	" " $ 2.50 Const fee 1.50 Pd. to Burnham	Burnham	" " $
Oct. 9		Complaint filed and Summons issued.	
" 10		Summons returned & filed showing service upon defendants at this Tp. on Oct. 9th 1901	
" 15		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed	

E. A. St. John
Justice of the Peace

OCT 15 1901

Received, $ 4.00

In Full Payment

Above Costs,

Grant Pierson

Pltff.

20

No. 2350

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Antonio J. Teras — Plaintiff

vs.

Pedro Arceberry — Defendant

Action for labor performed

Demand $ 1.70 25

W. D. Tupper — Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Crichton — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 11		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 12		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at 1st P.M. on Oct. 12th 1901	
" 22		Defendant by his atty. enters a general denial orally,	
" 31		Now comes plaintiff and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be dismissed	

S. C. Stevens
Justice of the Peace.

No. 2351

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. Nilsuier — Plaintiff

vs.

John Wilson — Defendant

Action for damages

Demand $ 75.00

H. Brickley — Attorney for Plaintiff

E. S. Van Meter — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Oct. 11 Complaint filed and summons issued

" " Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Oct. 11 Dec. 1901.

" 14 Defendant files answer

" 15 Subpoena issued in behalf of plaintiff

" Subpoena issued in behalf of defendant.

" " Trial set for Oct. 24th 1901 at 2 P. M.

" 24 At the time set parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. C. Nilsuier, B. Williams, Milton Brandon, John Mullins, and J. F. Betz, are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and Mrs. May Dunsmore, Oscar Jackson, Geo. Wilson Daisy Urban and John Wilson are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant.

The matter is submitted and taken under advisement.

Oct 29 The Court this day finds for defendant for his costs.

Wherefore judgment is hereunder and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff take nothing by reason of this action, and that the defendant do have and recover of and from the plaintiff his costs herein taxed at $8.10

Done in open court this OCT 29 1901

D. C. St. John

" 31 Subpoena on part of defendant filed.

" 31 Defendant files Mem. of costs.

Dec. 7 Execution issued & delivered to Dunnas

" " Execution returned & filed satisfied

No. 2352

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Eugenie Carrere, administratrix of the Estate of John Carrere, deceased, *Plaintiff*

vs.

C. G. Cather and W. H. Hite *Defendants*

Action upon Promissory Note

Demand $ 71.49 & Int. & Atty. fee

W. D. Crichton *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901		*Pltf. Pd.* $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd.* $ " " $
		due St. J. 20c		
Oct. 1902 Oct.	11		Complaint filed	
	6		Summons issued	

No. 2353.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Eugenie Carrere, administratrix of the Estate of John Carrere, deceased
Plaintiff

vs.

C. G. Cather and B. R. Cather

Defendants

Action upon Promissory Note

Demand $ 25.00 & Int. & atty fee

H. D. Crichton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
	due St. J. $2.00		
Oct. 11 1902		Complaint filed.	
Oct. 6		Summons issued	

No. 2354.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. A. Tobey and J. M. Holaday, copartners doing business under the firm name of Tobey and Holaday, Plaintiff

vs.

James Graham and James Moffatt, copartners doing business under the firm name of Graham & Moffatt, Defendant

Action upon a/c

Demand $39.00 & Int.

Bruce & Truman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $44.25 " " $
Oct. 12		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 18		Upon motion of plaintiff this case is dismissed S. C. Johnson Justice of the Peace	
" "		Summons filed	

OCT 18 1901

Received, $ 39.00

In full Payment

Above Demand

Bruce & Truman
Attys. for Plff.

OCT 18 1901

Received, $ 2.25

In full Payment

Above Court Costs.

J. W. Dumas

OCT 18 1901

Received, $ 50.00

In full Payment

Above Deposit as bond

Co. Edward Tobey.

Justice 2.00
Court 3.25
5.25

No. 2355.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Krogh Manufacturing Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Bonds Crude Oil Engine
Works and G. W. Bonds
Defendants

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 82.08 + Interest

Brown & Truman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Oct. 14		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 22		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendants at this Township on Oct. 21st 1901	
" 26		Defendants appear and enter a general denial orally	
Nov 8		Now comes plaintiff by their attys Brown and Truman and upon their motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed.	

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

NOV 8 1901
Received, $ 82.08
In full Payment
Above demand
Brown & Truman
Attys for Plff.

NOV 8 1901
Received, $ 2.50
In Payment
Above Court Fee
J. W. Truman

No. 2356.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. E. Forbes — Plaintiff

vs.

H. A. Pratt — Defendant

Action upon an a/c.

Demand $ 25.12

Ewarts & Ewing — Attorney for Plaintiff

H. H. Welch — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
		Due St. J. 2.00	
Oct. 17		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Oct. 17th 1901	
" 22		Defendant files answer	
1902 March. 11		Parties stipulate that trial be set for March. 18th '02 - 10 A.M.	
" 18		Parties stipulate orally that trial be continued without date.	
" "		Defendant files amended answer	

No. 2357.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. A. Goslinen — Plaintiff

vs.

W. R. Neil — Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 159.00 & Int

E. A. Williams
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 18		Complaint filed and (summons) issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 24		Summons returned and filed showing personal upon defendant at the County of Tulare, State of California on Oct. 19th 1901.	
Nov. 1		Upon motion of plaintiff by his atty E.A. Williams it is ordered that this case be dismissed	

D. C. S. Johnson
Justice of the Peace.

28

No. 2358.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. Graff & Co. (a corporation)

Plaintiff

vs.

G. W. Spankie

Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 56.15

H. Graff & Co.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Knight, Dumas	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 19		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 23		Now comes plaintiff and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be dismissed.	

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2359.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. A. Baron — Plaintiff

vs.

Dock Lee — Defendant

Action for rent

Demand $ 14.00

W. V. Ashbrook — Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Tupper — Attorney for Defendant

7.50

DATE 1901.

Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 3.50

PROCEEDINGS.

Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Dumas

Oct. 19. Complaint filed and summons issued

" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed

" " Writ of attachment issued

" 21 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township, on Oct. 19th 1901.

" 23 Defendant files answer and counterclaim.

Nov. 5 Plaintiff files answer to defendant's counterclaim.

" 25 ~~Defendant files~~ Writ of attachment filed levied

" " Parties in court and stipulate that the trial take place this day at 2 P. M.

" " At the time set parties in court and the Trial Proceeds.

" " Defendant files amended answer

" " Plaintiff enters a genral denial to defendant's amended answer.

G. A. Baron, T. Hillmer, E. B. Bradley, J. F. Schafer and W. J. Weems are sworn and testify in behalf of Plaintiff and Dock Lee is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and taken under advisement.

Dec. 11 The court this day finds for Plaintiff for $6.50

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 6.50 principal, $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 11.00 Total Judgement $ 17.50

Done in open court this DEC 11 1901

E. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

" " Execution issued & del. to Dumas

Justice Fees	$ 5.75
Constable "	$ 3.75
Sheriff's Storage	$ 1.50
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$
	$ 11.00

30

No. 2360.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. C. Dorsey — Plaintiff

vs.

H. J. Ford alias H. J. McGowan and Ellen Ford — Defendants

Action upon Prom. Note.

Demand $ 181.30 Int. & atty fee

Jas. Gallagher — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $14.50 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. (Gallagher).	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 19		Complaint filed and summons issued.	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 23		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon both defendants at this Tp. on Oct. 22/01	

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendants H. J. Ford and Ellen Ford personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 22nd day of October 1901 and that said defendants have not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 10 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff... it is ordered that the default of said H. J. Ford and Ellen Ford defendants be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff... it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendants the sum of $181.30 principal, $10.58 interest, $25.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $10.25 Total Judgement $227.13

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this OCT 29 1901

" 29 Plaintiff files Mem. of costs.

Nov. 2 Abstract of Judgment del. to Jas. Gallagher

" " Affidavit filed showing that the above defendant is sometimes known as H. J. McGowan asking that said alias be entered in docket of pleadings herein which granted.

Above Judgment satisfied this Nov. 13/1901

James Gallagher
Atty for Pltff

No. 2361

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. D. Clance — Plaintiff

vs.

Dr. H. Gazarian — Defendant

Action Unlawful detainer

Demand $ 15.00

Attorney for Plaintiff
E. S. Van Meter

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Pulaston	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 19		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Oct. 19th. 1901	
" 21		Defendant files answer	
" 30		Now comes plaintiff and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be dismissed	
		S. C. St. John	
		Justice of the Peace	

32

No. 2362.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. M. Shannon — Plaintiff

vs.

Dannon and Bacon — Defendant

Action Written order

Demand $ 38 75

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1901	" " $		" " $
	1 00	due Sh. 1 00	
Oct. 22 1902		Complaint filed	
Oct. 15		Summons issued	

No. 2363.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

V. Mutkovich — Plaintiff

vs.

Chaddock & Company a corporation — Defendant

Action upon an o/c.

Demand $ 49.23

S. L. Carter — Attorney for Plaintiff

L. L. Leary — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 22		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"		Defendant by its atty enters a general demurrer orally	
Nov. 26		Upon motion of S. L. Carter atty. for plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed	

E. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2364

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. P. Jorgensen — Plaintiff

vs.

D George — Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 8.70

A. M. Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 22		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued,	
" 23		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Oct. 22/1901	
" 28		Comes now plaintiff by his attorney and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be dismissed	

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2365

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mr. H. Muller — Plaintiff

vs.

W. M. Shaw, George A. Wilson and I. R. Wilber — Defendant

Action upon an O/C.

Demand $ 100.00

W. K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Snow & Freeman atty's for G. A. Wilson and Wm Shaw

S. L. Carter atty for I. R. Wilber — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
		due Sh. J. 2.00	
Oct. 24		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 29		Defendant G. A. Wilson files demurrer.	
Nov. 8		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant Geo. A. Wilson at this Township on Oct. 24th 1901. and upon the defendant Wm Shaw at this Township on Nov. 8th 1901.	
" 12		Defendant W. M. Shaw files demurrer	
15		Defendant I. R. Wilber files demurrer.	
1902 Jan 6		Hearing of demurrer set for Jan 9/01 at 10 A. M.	
" 9		At the time set all parties in court and plaintiff asks permission to and is granted permission to file an amended complaint.	

No. 2366.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

County of Fresno
Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph D Spinney, John Doe
and Richard Roe
Defendants

Action for amt. due for license

Demand $

C. L. Evertz.
Attorney for Plaintiff

L. L. Carter
L. L. Carter by Attorney for Defendant Spinney

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
		Am Sr. J. 20.00	
Oct. 25		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 26		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant Joseph D Spinney at this Township on Oct. 26th. 1901.	
" 30		Defendant Joseph D Spinney files demurrer.	
Nov. 1902 25		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	
March 20		Alias Summons issued upon motion of plaintiff.	
" 31		Alias summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant A. G. Sequeira designated in pleadings herein as John Doe	
April 4		Defendant A. G. Sequeira files demurrer	

No. 2367

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Anna Black — Plaintiff

vs.

Chas. Rhodes — Defendant

Action on an o/c.

Demand $ 29.75

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Oct. 25		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	

38

No. 2368

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Manuel Souza
Plaintiff

vs.

W. S. Grace and
James Doe Grace (his wife)
Defendants

Action Claim & Del. of per property

Demand $175.00 & Atty Fee

Everts & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Jas. A. Burns
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $2.50 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Dumas

Oct. 28 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 30 Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon W. S. Grace and Maria Grace his wife defendants herein at this Township on Oct. 29/01

Nov. 1 Defendants file demurrer

" 8 Affidavit and undertaking on claim and delivery of personal property filed & showing service upon defendant.

No. 2369

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. F. Coon — Plaintiff

vs.

M. K. Pickrell, L. Elvira Pickrell and C. C. Allen — Defendants

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 75.00 + Interest

M. K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ 6.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1901	" " $		" " $

Oct. 28 Complaint filed and summons issued

" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed

" " Writ of attachment issued.

Nov. 16 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant C. C. Allen at the 8th Township Fresno County on Nov. 9th 1901 and upon defendants M. K. Pickrell and L. Elvira Pickrell at this Township on Nov. 14th 1901.

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant C. C. Allen et al personally, at and in the 8th Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 9th day of Nov. 1901 and upon the defendants M. K. Pickrell & L. Elvira Pickrell at this Tp. on Nov. 14th 1901 said defendants have not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor ~~[illegible] days~~ has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said C. C. Allen, M. K. Pickrell and L. Elvira Pickrell defendants be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion said plaintiff, it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for,

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendants M. K. Pickrell, L. Elvira Pickrell & C. C. Allen the sum of $ 75.00 principal, $ 11.06 interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 16.75 Total Judgement $ 102.81

J. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this NOV 21 1901

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable Reedy Dumas	$ 8.00
~~Sheriff's~~ "	$ 4.00
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$
	16.75

Nov. 21 Execution issued

" 25 Writ of attachment filed levied

" 22 Order made citing Judgment debtors to appear in court on Nov. 26/01 at 10 A. M. in Sup. Prods.

1902

May 28 Abstract of Judgment issued Sup. Prods 1.50.

JUN 20 1903 JUN 29 1903 Ex returned and filed showing payment of $16.00

JUL 23 1903 Abstract issued.

No. 2370

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. D. Carver — Plaintiff

vs.

R. B. Butler — Defendant

Action upon a/c

Demand $ 25.00

H. D. Carver — Attorney for Plaintiff

W. H. Welch — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ Donated " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 28		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
Nov. 4		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Nov. 4th 1901.	
" 8		Now comes defendant by his attorney and enters a general denial orally.-	
" 13		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed	

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2371.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. M. Thompson — Plaintiff

vs.

S. A. Erwin — Defendant

Action upon Promi. Note

Demand $ 190.36 + Int. + Atty. fee

Geo. Cosgrave — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 4.50 " " $ 5.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Oct. 29 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 31 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at the County of Fresno on Oct. 30th 1901

Dec. 16 It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant S. A. Erwin personally, at and in the ..... Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 30th day of October 1901 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 10 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said S. A. Erwin defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff, it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 190.36 principal, $ 149.27 interest, $ 25.00 Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.00 Total Judgement $ 370.63

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this DEC 16 1901

1901
Dec. 18 Abstract of Judgment issued to Geo. Cosgrave
Dec. 1903 " " " " " " " "

No. 2372.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Sahroyan, Plaintiff,
vs.
Del Rey Fig Company, a copartnership, composed of H. Markarian, A. J. Melchonian, M. Sahroyan and M. Charshafjian, and doing business under the firm name and style of Del Rey Fig Company and H. Markarian, A. J. Melchinian, M. Sahroyan and M. Charshafjian, individually,
Defendants.

Defendant

Action for labor

Demand $ 198.50

F. E. Cook
Attorney for Plaintiff

M. B. Harris
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1901	" " $		" " $

Oct. 29 Complaint filed and summons issued

Nov 6 Now comes A. J. Melchonian personally and enters a genral denial orally as to himself individually.

1902
Apr. 9 Now comes M. B. Harris and appearing for all of the defendants above named except the defendant A. J. Melchonian, and enters a genral demurrer orally.

No. 2373.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. J. Smith — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Seymour — Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 12.00

F. J. Smith
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ 17.25 / " " $
Oct. 29.	Paid	Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 30		Now comes plaintiff and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be dismissed	
		J C S John Justice of the Peace	

OCT 30 1901

Received, $ 12.00
In Full Payment
Above Demand
F. F. Brashes
Agt of Deft.

OCT 30 1901

Received, $ 2.50
In Payment
Above Court Fees
W. H. Pulcifer

44

No. 2374.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. H. Parsegan — Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Bollinger — Defendant

Action upon an a/c.

Demand $ 35.00

W. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. P. Harris
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901.		Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 7.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov.	1		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"		Writ of attachment issued.	
1902 Jan	9		Summons returned and filed showing personal servic upon defendant at the County of Tulare State of California on Dec. 8th 1901.	
"	28		Defendant files written demurrer and answer.	
Feb.	4		Parties in court demurrer submitted and overruled.	
"	18		Upon motion of plaintiff trial is set for Feb. 20/02-2 P.M.	
"	"		Written notice of time of trial filed servic acknowledged by defendant's atty.	
"	20		At the time set to wit 2. o'clock P. M. of this day for the trial of this action, plaintiff in court and after waiting for more than one hour thereafter and the defendant failing to appear either in person or by attorney and it appearing to the Court that said defendant had legal notice thereof it is ordered that the trial proceed in defendants absence. J. H. Parsegan is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff. The matter is then submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for $35-.	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 35.00 *principal. $* —— *interest, $* —— *Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $* 7.00 *Total Judgement $* 42.00

Done in open court this FEB 20 1902

J. H. Parsegan
Justice of the Peace.

No. 2375.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Arthur Patterson, a minor by Joe Hougen his Guardian ad litem — Plaintiff

vs.

H. J. Chrisman — Defendant

Action upon a/c for labor

Demand $ 78.15

J. C. Graber — Attorney for Plaintiff

Johnston & Jones — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov. 5		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Written application for appointment of guardian ad litem filed,	
" "		Upon application of plaintiff a minor it is ordered that Joe Hougen be and he is hereby appointed guardian ad litem of plaintiff herein (a minor) for the purposes of the above entitled action.	
" "		Order appointing guardian ad litem filed	
" "		Affidavit and Undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
" 11		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Nov. 11/1901.	
" 16		Defendant by his attys. enters a general demurrer orally.	

No. 2976.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. B. Goodman — Plaintiff

vs.

James Nelson — Defendant

Action upon Promissory Note.

Demand $ 164.15 + Int. + Atty Fee

Jas Gallagher — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
	due Sr. J. 2.00		
Nov. 6		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 11		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at 2nd Township Fresno County on Oct. 8th 1901.	

No. 2377

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. B. Goodman — Plaintiff

vs.

H. C. Nelson — Defendant

Action upon Promis. Note.

Demand $ 20.00 & Cost.

S. B. Goodman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901		Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
			Am St. J. 4.75	
Nov. 1902	6		Complaint filed.	
Feby	5		Summons issued	
"	"		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"		Writ of attachment issued.	
Dec.	19		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at 2nd Township Fresno county on Dec. 12th 1902	
"	24		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant H. C. Nelson personally, at and in the 2nd Township, County of ....., State of California, on the 12th day of Dec 1902, and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 10 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said H. C. Nelson defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for,	
			Wherefore it is by this Court ordered and [illegible] for the	
			Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 20.00 principal, $ 7.00 interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.75 Total Judgement $ 33.75	

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$ 2.00
Witness Fees	$

48

No. 2378.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. F. Cowan — Plaintiff

vs.

O. W. Jones — Defendant

Action upon a/c for board & lodging

Demand $ 45.75

D. F. Cowan
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
		Am St J. 5.75 Dismd	
Nov. 6.		Complaint filed and summons issued affidavit for attachment filed & writ of attachment issued	
" 20		Summons returned and filed, showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Nov. 20th 1901.	
"1902 Jan 20		Defendant enters a general denial orally-	
" 21		Upon motion of plaintiff trial set for Jan 25/01 - 10 A.M.	
" 25		The plaintiff having failed to legally notify the defendant of the time set for trial it is ordered that the trial be set for Jan 31st 1902 at 10 o'clock A.M.	
" 31		Written notice of time of trial together with affidavit of service upon defendant by mail filed.	
" "		At the time set plaintiff in Court and after waiting one full hour thereafter the Court orders that the trial proceed in defendants absence it appearing to the Court that he had legal notice thereof. D. F. Cowan is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff. The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for $ 45.75 & costs.	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 45.75 principal, $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 11.25 Total Judgement $ 57.00

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this Jan 31/1902

1902
Feb. 24 Abstract of Judgment issued [illegible] Pd

No. 2379

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. Graff and W. Johnson, partners under the firm name of H. Graff & Co. — Plaintiff

vs.

O. F. Wagner — Defendant

Action upon account

Demand $ 161.80

H. H. Welch — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 4.00	PROCEEDINGS. Dismissed	Deft. Pd. $
Nov. 5		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 8		Summons returned and filed showing Personal	
" 22		Service upon defendant at this Township on Nov. 7th 1901	

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant O. F. Wagner personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 7th day of November 1901 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said O. F. Wagner defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 161.80 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 5.00 Total Judgement $ 166.80

Done in open court this NOV 22 1901

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

1902

Feby 24 Abstract of judgment issued ,50 Pd.

Mch. 3 Abstract of Judgment issued to plaintiff

May 13 Execution returned & filed partially satisfied to wit in the sum of $ 26.00

50

No. 2980

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. A. Gagosian — Plaintiff

vs.

B. S. Saroukan — Defendant

Action upon a/c

Demand $ 136.30

Strother & Strother — Attorney for Plaintiff

W. J. Timian — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901		PROCEEDINGS.	
	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00	11/30-01 Const. Sturgeon Paulson	Deft. Pd. $ 19.07
	" " $ 3.00		" " $
Nov.	7	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	20	Affidavit filed for order to sell attached property.	
"	"	It appearing to me from the affidavit filed herein that good cause exists therefor it is ordered that an order issue directing that the property now under attachment by virtue of the writ issued herein be sold as provided by law.	
"	"	Order issued directing the officer to sell the property attached under the writ issued herein.	
"	26	Defendant files demurrer	
"	"	Defendant files demand for itemized a/c service being acknowledged.	
"	25	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	
"	"	Summons returned & filed - served	
"	30	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.	
"	"	Order to sell attached property returned & filed	
Dec.	16	Defendant consents that demurrer be overruled	
"	"	Defendant files answer and counterclaim	
1902			
Jan	2	Defendant files amended answer & counterclaim	
Apr.	18	Upon motion of plaintiff trial set for Apr. 25/02 - 10 AM	
"	25	At the time set all parties in Court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. S. A. Gagosian, & D. Droge are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and B. S. Saroukan is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and taken under advisement.	
May	16	The Court this day finds for Plaintiff for $ 123.30	

May 16/02 Dec. judgment is ordered for $9.07 being the amount paid by Pltff on sale of property not sold, refused.

May 21 '02 Pltff. files Mem. of costs.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 123.30 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.75 Total Judgement $.....

Done in open court this MAY 16 1902

..... Justice of the Peace.

Received, MAY 16 1902 In ..... $ 19.07

Above dep. by officer Strother & Strother Atty for Pltff.

No. 2381.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. T. St. John — Plaintiff

vs.

H. Rubin — Defendant

Action

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 00 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Nov. 7		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Summons filed	
" "		Now comes plaintiff and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is dis-missed. E. T. St. John Justice of the Peace	

52

No. 2382.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. B. Tripp — Plaintiff

vs.

C. S. Sydnor — Defendant

Action on a/c.

Demand $ 6.38

530 - H. St - Phone Bk. 944

Attorney for Plaintiff

L. L. Covey

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 3.25 — 1.25 Pd. to Bierstow " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ 3.00 11/16-01 " " $

Bulstow

Nov. 8 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 8 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this J.P. on Nov. 8th 1901

" 13 Now comes defendant by his attorney and enters a general demurrer orally —

" 14 Upon motion of plaintiff hearing of demurrer set for Nov. 15/1901, at 10 A.M.

" 15 Defendant consents that demurrer be overruled and is given until Nov. 16th to answer

" 16 Defendant files answer

" 20 Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed

D. C. Johnson
Justice of the Peace

NOV 20 1901

Received, $ 3.00

In Payment

Above deposit by Dft.

J. B. Tripp

Pltff

No. 2383.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

~~Geo. Kaehler~~

Geo. Kaehler and Max Kaehler copartners doing business under the firm name of Kaehler Bros. — Plaintiffs.

vs.

W. G. Walker — Defendant

Action upon an a/c.

Demand $ 30 00

Frank Kauke — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 00 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Nov. 8		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 11		Now comes plaintiff and upon their motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed.	

S. O. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 20384

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ed. Hestwick — Plaintiff

vs.

F. J. Smart — Defendant

Action upon a/c

Demand $ 66.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $ 3.50	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Nov. 13 Complaint filed and summons issued

" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed

" " Writ of attachment issued

" 14 Summons returned & filed, showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Nov. 14th 1901

1902

Jan 7 It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant F. J. Smart personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 14th day of November 1901 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said F. J. Smart defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for,

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $66.00 principal, $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.75 Total Judgement $ 73.75

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this JAN 7 1902

Jan 7 Upon motion of plaintiff above judgment is credited with $13.25 as of Nov. 16th 1901.

Abstract of Judgment issued 50

Feby 1 Abstract of Judgment issued 50

Transcript 1.00

No. 2385

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

IN THE

Justice's Court of the Third Township

County of Fresno, State of California

JUDGMENT			
Judgment, - -	$		
Interest, - - -	$		
Attorney's Fee, -	$		
Costs, - - - -	$		
Accrued Interest, -	$		
Accrued Costs, - -	$		
ACCRUING COSTS	$		
Execution and Filing, -	$		
Levy, - - -	$		
Advertisement, - -	$		
Keeping, - - -	$		
Per cent Commission, -	$		
	$		

Execution
C. C. P., Sec. 902.

The People of the State of California,

To the Sheriff or any Constable of the County of Fresno, GREETING:

Whereas, *a judgment was rendered before S. C. ST. JOHN, Justice of the Peace of the Third Township, in the County of Fresno, on the* ..... *day of* ..... *190....., against* .....

.....

and in favor of .....

for the sum of $.....*damages, with $*.....*interest, $*.....
attorney's fee, $.....*costs of suit* .....

.....

These Are Therefore to Command You, *the said Constable, that out of the* .....*personal property, and if sufficient personal property cannot be found, then out of the* .....*real property of said defendant*.....

.....

you levy and cause to be made by distress and sale, ...
the said amount of $.....*with $*.....*interest, $*.....
attorney's fee, and $.....*costs of suit,* .....
with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from the date of judgment, and $.....*accrued costs, together with costs that may accrue; and of this writ make legal service and due return within sixty days after your receipt hereof, with what you have done endorsed hereon.*

Given *under my hand, this* .....*day af* .....*, A. D. 190..*

.....
Justice of the Peace of said Township.

FRANKLIN PRINTING HOUSE, Fresno, Cal.

54

No. 2384

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno.

In the Justice's Court of the Third Township

County of Fresno, State of California.

..... 190....

To .....

By Virtue of an Execution *issued out of the Justice's Court of said Township and to me directed against the within defendant.... I hereby attach all moneys, effects and credits in your hands or under your control, belonging to the within defendant... in pursuance of said writ; and you are hereby notified not to transfer, pay over or deliver the same to any one but myself.*

Please make a statement.

.....
Constable.

By .....
Deputy Constable.

Dated this .....*day of* .....*190*....

No.....

Docket..... *Page*.....

Justice's Court,
THIRD TOWNSHIP
COUNTY OF FRESNO.

.....

vs.

.....

Rec'd.....

Execution

Judgment	$	
Interest	$	
Attorney's fee	$	
Costs	$	
Accrued Interest	$	
Accrued Costs	$	
ACCRUING COSTS		
Execution and Filing	$	
Levy	$	
Advertisement	$	
Keeping	$	
Per cent Commission	$	
	$	

Filed ..... 190....

..... *Justice of the Peace of said Township.*

..... *Attorney.*

CONSTABLE'S OFFICE,
Third Township, County of Fresno.

I hereby certify that I have this ..... *day of* ..... *A. D. 190*...
returned the within execution ..... *satisfied, at the County of Fresno.*

.....
Constable.

By .....
Deputy Constable.

No. 2385

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. E. Young. — Plaintiff

vs.

J. E. Wilson — Defendant

Action: on a/c.

Demand $ 4.35

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov. 11		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking and undertaking for	
" "		attachment filed.	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 13		Now comes plaintiff and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed J. C. St. John	
" "		Summons filed	

56

No. 2986

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Chas. A. Schweizer — Plaintiff

vs.

Andrew Carlin — Defendant

Action upon Promissory Note

Demand $69.42 & Int. & atty fee

E. S. Van Meter
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $4.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Nov. 13 Complaint filed and summons issued

" " ~~Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed~~

" " ~~Writ of attachment issd~~

" 21 Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Twp. on Nov. 14th 1901.

" 22 It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Andrew Carlin personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 14. day of November 1901 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff... it is ordered that the default of said Andrew Carlin defendant.. be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff... it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff . do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 69.42 principal, $ 9.83 interest, $ 15.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.50 Total Judgement $ 100.75

Done in open court this NOV 22 1901

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

No. 2987

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. B. Cowan — Plaintiff

vs.

C. L. Tieken — Defendant

Action upon an o/c.

Demand $ 40.00

M. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov. 14		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
" 25		Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.	

No 2386

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. M. Harris, Plaintiff,
vs.
John W. Swickard, Harry Swickard, John Swickard, Daniel Swickard, Bessie Swickard, Carrie Swickard, Chas. Swickard, Hannah W. Westfall, Jennie R. Wills, Samuel Bainbridge, James Bainbridge, Elizabeth Boyd, May Miller, Chas. Bainbridge, Harry Bainbridge, Bell Bainbridge.
Defendants.

Action upon a/c

Demand $ 287.50

M. B. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd.	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd.
1901			
Nov. 15	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $50.00 (CC) 3.00	Complaint filed and summons issued	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 16		Plaintiff files affidavit for publication of summons	
" "		It appearing to me from the affidavit filed herein that service of summons should be made by publication it is ordered that service upon defendants herein be made by publication as per written order made this day—	
1902 Apr. 1		Summons returned & filed	
" "		Order for publication of summons filed.	
" "		Affidavit of publisher of the Fowler Ensign filed showing that the summons herein was published in accordance with the Courts order and that the service by publication was completed on Jan 25th 1902.	
" "		Affidavit that copies of the summons and complaint herein were mailed in accordance with Courts order	
" "		Upon motion of Wm. B. Harris attorney for plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed as to the following defendants to wit: John W. Swickard, Harry Swickard John Swickard, Bessie Swickard, Carrie Swickard, Chas. Swickard, and Jennie R. Wills.	
" "		It appearing to me that the service of the summons herein was made and completed by publication thereof upon the defendants herein on Jan 25th 1902, in accordance with the Courts order, and that a copy of said summons together with a copy of the complaint herein mailed to the defendants and each of them in accordance with said order, and it further appearing to me that said defendants nor none of them have appeared herein in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 20 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for Wm. B Harris is sworn and testifies in behalf of Pl	

See page 59.

No. 2389

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Hockinberry — Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Shanklin — Defendant

Action upon an a/c.

Demand $ 20.00 + Int @ 7 %.

M. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov. 15		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 25		Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.	

E. M. Harris
vs.
John H. Swickard et al
} Brot. from page 58.

plaintiff — and the court finds for plaintiff and against the defendants, Hannah H. Westfall, Samuel Bainbridge James Bainbridge, Elizabeth Boyd, May Miller, Clara Bainbridge, Harry Bainbridge and Bell Bainbridge and each of them and all of said defendants for $178.65 costs.

Wherefore judgment is here ordered and entered and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendants herein last above mentioned, and each and all of them said defendants the sum of $178.65 together with plaintiff's costs herein taxed at $25.20

Total Judgment $206.85

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

M. K. Harris
Atty for Plff

APR 2 1902
Received, $ 2.00 —
In ..... Payment
Above Cash allowed on account

Done in open court
this APR 1 1902

Apr. 1 Summ. & costs filed
" " Writ of attachment filed.

60

No. 2390

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Brickley — Plaintiff

vs.

E. L. Hubbell — Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 30.60

Smith & Ostrander
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Quiston.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov. 16		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" "		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	
" 19		Now comes plaintiff personally and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be dismissed.	

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2391.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles Nelson — Plaintiff

vs.

Bert Wilson — Defendant

Action on acct for labor

Demand $ 12.70

Attorney for Plaintiff
Johnston & Jones

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901		Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. 11/30-01 Dep. by Ed. Jones Dumas	Deft. Pd. $ 11.05 " " $
Nov	18		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"		Writ of attachment issued	
"	"		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Nov. 18/1901	
"	23		Defendant files demurrer.	
1902 Jan	13		Now comes plaintiff personally and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	
			D. C. St. John Justice of the Peace.	
"	"		$11.05 Paid to plaintiff	

62

No. 2392.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Hassin — Plaintiff

vs.

Harry Muldoon — Defendant

Action on an a/c for labor

Demand $ 106.00

Attorney for Plaintiff
J. A. Burns

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Dumas	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov. 18		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Dec. 2		Defendant enters a general demurrer orally —	
" 16		affidavit filed and writ of attachment issued	
" 16		Defendant consents that demurrer be overruled and enters a general denial orally.	
" 19		Parties in court and stipulate that the trial of this action be set for Dec. 24/01 at 2 P. M. so ordered.	
" 23		defendant files amended answer	
" "		Writ of attachment returned filed & void	
" 27		~~Trial set for Jan 2nd 1902 at 2 P. M.~~	
~~"1902~~ Jan 2		~~at the time set~~	
Dec. 23		Parties in court and stipulate that the trial proceed at this time	
		Jas Hassin and E. Larguier are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and	
		P. Muldoon is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendant.	
		The matter is submitted and the court finds for defendant for costs.	
		Wherefore judgment is herewith and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff take nothing by reason of this action and that said defendant do have and recover of and from the plaintiff his costs herein taxed at $	

Entered in open court
this Dec. 23/1901

Justice of the Peace

No. 2393.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mark Webster — Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Smith — Defendant

Action for house rent

Demand $ 21.65

J. Kauke — Attorney for Plaintiff

E. B. Van Meter — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 5.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ 24.65
Nov. 18		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Dec. 18		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Dec. 16 th. 1901	
" 21		Defendant by his attorney enters a genral denial orally.	
" 27		Defendant files amended answer & counterclaim.	
1902 "		Trial set for Jan 2nd 1902 at 2 P. M.	
Jan 2		at the time set all parties in court announce themselves ready and trial proceeds. Mark Webster is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff, and J. H. Smith and J. H. Hill are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and taken under advisement.	
" 8		The court this day finds for plaintiff for $18.65 and costs.	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 18.65 principal. $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.00 Total Judgement $ 24.65

Done in open court this JAN 8 1902

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

JAN 14 1902

Received, $ 24.65

In Full Payment

Above Judgment

Frank Kauke

Atty for Pltff

64

No. 2394

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Goslinor Bros — Plaintiff

vs.

A. F. Gobin — Defendant

Action upon Promissory Note

Demand $53.50 & Int

E. A. Williams. — Attorney for Plaintiff

Snow & Freeman — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901.	Pltf. Pd. $5.00 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Nov. 19		~~Complaint filed and summons issued~~	
" "		Transcript and papers on change of venue from the Justices Court of the 8th Township filed.	
" 26		Plaintiff enters a general denial orally to defendants counterclaim	
Dec. 6		Upon motion of plaintiff trial set for Dec. 13th 01 at 2 PM.	
" 14		Defendant files amended answer	
1902 Jan 18		Trial set for Jan 23/1902 at 10 A. M.	
" 23		At the time set parties in Court and the trial proceeds.- Plaintiff enters a general demurrer to defendants answer orally. The demurrer is submitted and overruled, and plaintiff excepts. Plaintiff thereupon moves the Court for judgment upon the pleadings. which is denied and plaintiff excepts. E. A. Lesser is sworn and testifies for plaintiff and A. F. Gobin, J. M. Deason and C. H. Matthews are sworn and testify in behalf defendant. Parties stipulate that the argument be set down at a time to be agreed upon.	
Feb 7		Parties in Court the matter is argued and submitted and taken under advisement.	
" 11		The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $53.50 principal $6.00 interest & costs.	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and ordered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $53.50 principal. $ 6.00 interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $5.00 Total Judgement $.64.50

D. C. St John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this FEB 11 1902

"	14	Plaintiff files Mem. of costs.
July	7	Abstract of Judgment issued
		Execution issued
Aug	31	Defendant files affidavit

No. 2395.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. H. Papasian
Plaintiff

vs.

L. Davidian
Defendant

Action upon assigned a/c's.

Demand $ 27.55

Frank Kanke
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
Nov. 19		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 22		Upon motion of F. Kanke Atty for Pltff. it is ordered that this case be dismissed	

J. C. S. Johnson
Justice of the Peace

No. 2396

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. M. Virgin — Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Mack — Defendant

Action upon a/c

Demand $ 60.16

L. L. Covey — Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Crichton — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $ K. G. Co.	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Nov. 19		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 21		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Term Nov. 20/1901	
" 25		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	
" "		Defendant files demurrer	

No. 2397

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Edward Hertweck
Plaintiff

vs.

The County of Fresno
Defendant

Action assigned claim for labor

Demand $ 60.00

Snow & Freeman
Attorney for Plaintiff

O. L. Ewert
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $ 3.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Nov. 20 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 25 Summons returned & filed showing service upon defendant at this Township on Nov. 21st 1901

" 26 Defendant enters a general demurrer orally

1902 Jan 21 Parties in court and stipulate that the demurrer herein be taken up at this time. The demurrer is argued and submitted and taken under advisement.

" 28 The court this day overrules defendant's demurrer and defendant given five days to file answer.

Feby. 10 trial set for Feby 13th 1902 at 10 a. m.

" 12 Defendant files answer.

" 13 At the time set all parties in court and the trial proceeds, J. M. Braudt and Phil. Scott are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff. The matter is argued and submitted and taken under advisement.

" 25 The court this day finds for plaintiff for $ 60.00 and costs.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff.. do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 60.00 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.00 Total Judgement $ 66.00

Done in open court this Feb. 25th 1902

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

March 12 Defendant files notice of appeal

68

No. 2398

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. Flowers — Plaintiff

vs.

J. E. Bitzer — Defendant

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 7 50

A. M. Drew — Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Cricketon — Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd.	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd.
1901	$ 2 00 Just Fee " " $ 75 Court " Pd. to D		$ " " $

Nov. 20 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 21 Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Nov. 21st 1901.

" 25 Defendant files demurrer

" 27 Now comes plaintiff by his atty and upon his motion this case is dismissed

B. C. St John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2399.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Antonio M. Arruas
Plaintiff

vs.

Jose Gonzales Coelho
Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 14.00

W. D. Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

J. C. Graben
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	*Pltf. Pd.* $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd.* $ / " " $
		due & J. 2.00	
Nov. 25		Complaint filed and summons issued.	
" 26		Defendant enters a genral demurrer orally by his atty.	

70

No. 2400

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Hockenberry Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Desbrough Defendant

Action Upon Prom. Note

Demand $150.00 + Int. + Atty. fee

Nat. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1901	" " $	Due Pltf. J. 2.00	" " $
		Def. Costs. $1.50	

Nov. 26 Complaint filed and summons issued
Dec. 18 Summons returned and filed showing personal
service upon defendant in the City and County of
San Francisco. State of California on Nov. 30/1901

No. 2401

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Barrett Hicks Company
(a corporation)
Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Dastarac
Defendant

Action upon an a/c.

Demand $ 102.32

Geo. Cosgrave
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	*Pltf. Pd.* $	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd.* $
1901	" " $ Petty ledger due Sr. J.		" " $
Nov. 27		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 30		Plaintiff files amended complaint.	

72

No. 2402

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. U. Warnekros
Plaintiff

vs.

L. Hart and Mrs. Hart
Defendant

Action Unlawful detainer

Demand $ 25.00

H. H. Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Dismas

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 5.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov. 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 30		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant L. Hart at this Township on Nov. 29th 1901	
Dec. 4		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant L. Hart personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 29th day of November 1901 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 4 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said L. Hart defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.	
" "		A. U. Warnekros is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff. Whereupon judgment is hereupon and ordered and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff be restored to the possession of the premises to wit: that certain dwelling house on "L" strut in the city of Fresno known as No. 962 "L" Strut, and that the lease under which defendant has heretofore held the said premises as tenant of said plaintiff be and the same is hereby forfeited. And it is further ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant L. Hart the sum of $75.00 being damages the amount of the rent found due from defendant together with the costs herein taxed at $5.75. And it is further ordered that a writ be issued directed to the constable of said 3rd Township directing him to forthwith place said plaintiff in possession of said premises	

Done in open court
this DEC 4 1901

E. G. St. John
Justice of the Peace

Jan 25/02 Execution issued
Done for 29 cents paid in full
By Postmaster this Mch. 11th 1902
A. U. Warnekros
Chas Goo Hoff

No. 2403.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Tayjian — Plaintiff

vs.

Harry Tayjian — Defendant

Action in conversion

Demand $ 75.00

E. S. Van Meter — Attorney for Plaintiff

W. B. Harris — Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1901	" " $	Dumas	" " $
Nov. 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Dec. 2		Summons returned & filed showing personal servie upon defendant at this Tp. on Nov. 30th 1901	
" 5		Defendant files demurrer and Answer.	

No. 2404

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. P. Stoneroad
Plaintiff

vs.

W. L. Rourke
Defendant

Action on o/c

Demand $ 5.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 5.00 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Oakdale	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Nov. 30		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Summons returned and filed showing personal	
		service upon defendant at this Tp. on Nov. 30/1901	
" "		Defendant appears personally and enters a	
		general denial orally.	

No. 2405

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. W. Mennett — Plaintiff

vs.

John Grummett — Defendant

Action on O/C.

Demand $ 15.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd.	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd.
1901	" " $		" " $
Nov. 30	$2.00	Complaint filed and summons issued	$9.00
Dec. 4		Defendant deposits in Court $9.00 as tender in full payment of demand & costs.	
" "		Defendant enters a genral denial orally.	
" "		Summons returned & filed served.	
" 31		Now comes plaintiff and states that the demand and costs in this action have been settled in full and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be dismissed	

D. C. Holmes
Justice of the Peace

76

No. 2406.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. W. Grady — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Pulliston — Defendant

Action in conversion

Demand $ 13.00

A. C. Williams — Attorney for Plaintiff

F. E. Cook — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Nov. 30 Complaint filed and summons issued

Dec. 3. Comes now the defendant by his atty F. E. Cook and enters a general demurrer orally,

" 13 Defendant files answer

" " Trial set for Dec. 14th 1901 at 2 P. M.

" 14 At the time set all parties in Court and the trial proceeds. A. W. Grady, A. C. Williams and W. T. Porter are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff. The matter is submitted and taken under advisement

Jan. 9 The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $13.00

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 13.00 principal. $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ ..... Total Judgement $.....

Done in open court this JAN 9 1902

F. C. St John

Justice of the Peace.

No. 2407.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. A. Baron — Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Shafer — Defendant

Action upon a/c

Demand $ 30.75

W. J. Timin — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov. 30		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
Dec. 12		Upon motion of plaintiff this case is dismissed.	

B. C. St John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2408

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Armstrong Plaintiff

vs.

C. C. Halstead Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 100.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901		Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ Hockenbury J. H. due St. J. 27½ PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec.	3	Complaint filed
"	9	Summons issued
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
"	"	Writ of attachment issued.
"	16	Writ of attachment returned filed showing levy.

No. 2409-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geor Kohler and Geo. Pickford
Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Thomas
Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 38.00

Attorney for Plaintiff
W. P. Johnson (Red Bluff.)
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 6		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 10		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at the County of Tehama, State of California on Dec. 9th, 1901.	
" 26		Defendant files demurrer	
1902 Jan 13		Upon motion of plaintiff hearing of demurrer set for Jany 20th 1902 at 10 A.M.	
" 20		At the time set plaintiff in court and the defendant having submitted the matter by letter from his atty's the court overules the demurrer and defendant files written answer	
" "		Notice of demurrer filed	
" 30		Upon motion of plaintiff Geo. Kohler it is ordered that this case be dismissed, the demand and costs having been paid in full to said Geo. Kohler, by Postal order this day.	

S. C. Colby
Justice of the Peace

No. 2410

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo. Kaehler and Max Kaehler copartners doing business under the firm name of Kaehler Bros. Plaintiff

vs.

F. C. Clark Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 3.50

Kaehler Bros. Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ .75	PROCEEDINGS. 25 15 10.00	Deft. Pd. $ 10.00 " " $
Dec. 7		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	

DEC 16 1901
Received, $ 3.50
In full Payment
Above Demand
Frank Kaehler.

Dec. 26/01
Received, $ 16.25
In Payment
Above Dep. after deducting demand and costs.
Agt. F. C. Clark Deft.

DEC 16 1901
Received, $ 3.00
In Payment
Above Court fees
J. M. Dunn

No. 2411.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. L. Grainger — Plaintiff

vs.

Bernard Bienfeld — Defendant

Action for commission

Demand $ 20.00

Smith & Ostrander — Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. Cosgrave — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 7		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 12		Defendant by his attorney enters a general denial orally	
1902 Jan 7		Upon motion of plaintiff by his attys this case is dismissed.	

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

82

No. 2412.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Barrett Hicks Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

S. N. Mitrovich
Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 25.17 & Int. & atty fee

Geo. Cosgrave
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 9	Clk 1st. 1.00	Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 16		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on December 10th 1901.	
" 18		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant S. N. Mitrovich personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 10th day of December 1901 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff... it is ordered that the default of said S. N. Mitrovich defendant.. be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion said plaintiff... it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of, and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 25.17 principal. $ 4.40 interest, $ 15.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 4.75 Total Judgement $ 49.32	

E. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this DEC 18 1901

1901
Dec. 18 Abstract of Judgment issued to Geo. Cosgrave
FEB. 13. 1904 Ex. issued.
MAY. 7 - 1904 Ex. issued
MAY. 21. 1904 Ex. returned and filed &. Partly Satisfied

No. 2413.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P. A. McGinness
Plaintiff

vs.

Santa Clara Oil Company
a corporation
Defendant

Action upon an o/c

Demand $ 27.50

W. D. Crichton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1901	" " $		" " $

Dec. 12 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 30 Now comes plaintiff and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed

D. O'Sullivan
Justice of the Peace

No. 2414

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Madison and Charles G. Bonner doing business under the firm name and style of Madison & Bonner and Madison and Bonner — Plaintiff

vs.

A. L. Berry — Defendant

Action Breach of Contract

Demand $ 250.00

M. B. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Smith & Estrander
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 50.00 " " $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS. Burnham	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 13		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 19		Defendant by his attys. mtns a gnural demurrer orally,	
" 24		Upon motion of plaintiff by their attorney it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice	

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Above ..... In ..... Payment

Received, $ ..... DEC 24 1901

Received, $ 50.00

In Payment

Above Deposit as bond

M. B. Harris

Dec. 24 Summons filed.

No. 2415

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Herman Brose — Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Kill — Defendant

Action upon a/c.

Demand $ 220.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 16		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking filed for attachment	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
" 17		Now comes plaintiff personally and states that the demand & costs herein have been paid and upon his motion the case is dismissed	
		D. C. St. John	
		$50.00 Deposit returned to plaintiff. Justice of the Peace	

86

No. 2416

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. J. Ball — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Stoneroad — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 8.20

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
		pa. $2.00 to W.J.B.	
Dec. 16		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 16		Now comes plaintiff comes and upon his motion this case is dismissed	
" "		Writ of attachment filed	

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

$50.00 Deposit rtd. to Pltff.

No. 2417.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. M. Burleigh — Plaintiff

vs.

D. F. Dusthimer — Defendant

Action Bond Note

Demand $ 100 00

M. K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 1 00 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Dec. 16		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 27		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township, on Dec. 26th 1901.	

88

No. 2418

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles R. Buckbaber
Plaintiff

vs.

Jos. T. Nunneas
Defendant

Action a/c.

Demand $ 298.46

W. D. Cricliton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901		PROCEEDINGS.
		Pltf. Pd. $ 3.00 — $1.00 Pd. Bulston " " $ 2.00 Bulston Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec.	13	Complaint filed and summons issued
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
"	"	Writ of attachment issued #1
"	"	Writ of attachment #2 issued
"	16	Writ of attachment #1 returned & filed levied
"	26	Plaintiff files affidavit for order that summons be served by publication
"	"	Order made that service of summons be made by publication as per order filed herein
"	"	Order for publication of summons filed.
1902 Mch.	22	Affidavit of publication of summons filed, showing that publication was performed in accordance with the Courts order and that service was completed on Mch. 1st 1902.
"		Affidavit of mailing copy of summons & complaint filed
"		It appearing to me that the service of summons herein was made and completed by publication thereof upon the defendant on the 1st day of March 1902 in accordance with the court's order and that a copy of the summons together with a copy of the complaint was mailed to the defendant at his last known address in accordance with said order and it further appearing to me that said defendant Jos. T. Nunneas has not appeared herein by answer demurrer, nor in any manner whatsoever and that the legal time therefor to wit 20 days has fully expired now upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said defendant be and the same is hereby entered. J. H. Coleman is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff. The matter is submitted and the court orders that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 298.46 principal. $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 22.35 Total Judgement $ 320.81

Done in open court this MAR 22 1902

J. C. Johnson
Justice of the Peace.

Mch. 26/1902, Execution issued and Mch. 27/02 delivered to Constable. Writ of attachment filed. Execution returned & fully satisfied. Apl. 23/02

No. 2419-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Milling Company
(a corporation)
Plaintiff

vs.

J. A. Beall
Defendant

Action upon a Judgment

Demand $

Fresno Milling Co.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901.	Pltf. Pd. $ clgd 2.00 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Dec. 18 1902		Complaint filed.	
Nov. 25		Summons issued	
1903 Jan. 6		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this township on Jan. 6-1903.	
" 12		Suit dismissed by order of Plaintiff, this 12th day of Jan 1903	

G. W. Smith.
Justice of the Peace in and
for 3d Township.

INSURE WITH THE
Queen Insurance Company.
JACOB CLARK & CO., Resident Agents
Phone Main 90, 2032 Mariposa Street
FRESNO, CAL.

No. 2418

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles R. Buckbaber
Plaintiff

vs.

Jos. T. Nunneas
Defendant

Action a/c.

Demand $ 298.46

W. D. Cricliton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901		PROCEEDINGS.	
		Pltf. Pd. $ 3.00 $1.00 Pd. Bulston " " $ 2.00	Deft. Pd. $ " " $ Bulston
Dec	13	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued #1	
"	"	Writ of attachment #2 issued	
"	16	Writ of attachment #1 returned & filed levied	
"	26	Plaintiff files affidavit for order that summons be served by publication	
"	"	Order made that service of summons be made by publication as per order filed herein	
"	"	Order for publication of summons filed.	
1902 Mch.	22	Affidavit of publication of summons filed. showing that publication was performed in accordance with the Courts order and that service was	

Mch. 26/1902, Execution issued and Mch 27/02 Writ of Attachment returned & filed Apl. 23/02 Execution returned & filed

now upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said defendant be and the same is hereby entered. J. A. Coleman is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff. The matter is submitted and the court orders that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 298.46 principal. $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 22.35 Total Judgement $ 320.81

Done in open court this MAR 22 1902

H. C. Johnson
Justice of the Peace.

No. 2419-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Milling Company
(a corporation)
Plaintiff

vs.

J. A. Beall
Defendant

Action upon a Judgment

Demand $

Fresno Milling Co.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901.	Pltf. Pd. $ clgd 2.00 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
		due St. J. 2.00	
Dec. 18 1902		Complaint filed.	
Nov. 25		Summons issued	
1903 Jan. 6		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this township on Jan. 6-1903.	
" 12		Suit dismissed by order of Plaintiff, this 12th day of Jan 1903	

G. W. Smith.
Justice of the Peace in and
for 3d Township.

Dismissed

No. 2420

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Susie L. Coe — Plaintiff

vs.

J. J. Evans — Defendant

Action on o/c

Demand $ 60.00

W. D. Crichton — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 1.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
		due Sh. J. 1.00	
Dec. 19		Complaint filed.	
Dec. 1902 17		Summons issued	

No. 2421.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John H. Calaijian, Plaintiff

vs.

Raley-Chapman Company a corporation, Defendant

Action upon a/c

Demand $12.72

M. B. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

C. D. Wright (San Jose Cal.)
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901.	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $50.00 $3.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Dec. 19 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " Affidavit for attachment filed
" " Pltff. deposits $50.00 U.S. Gold Coin in lieu of undertaking for attachment
" " Writ of attachment issued
1902 Jan 11 Defendant files special appearance objecting to the jurisdiction of this Court.
" " Defendant files answer without waiving his rights as to jurisdiction &c.
Mch. 4 Trial set for March 10th 1902 at 10 A.M.
" 10 At the time set parties in Court announce themselves ready and trial proceeds.
" " Writ of attachment returned and filed.
" " The matter as to jurisdiction of the Court is first considered and M. L. Rowell is called by defendant, is sworn and testifies - Defendant's motion to dismiss on ground that this Court has no jurisdiction is denied, & defendant excepts. M. L. Rowell and J. H. Calaijian are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff. The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for $12.72 & costs. Taxed in open Court at $7.50

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $12.72 principal, $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $7.50 Total Judgement $20.22

J. C. Nolan
Justice of the Peace.

1902 Mch. 10 Defendant pays the amount of above judgment to pltff's Atty and upon motion the judgment is ordered satisfied

J. C. Nolan J.P.

MAR 21 1902
Received, $50.—
In Payment
Above Cash Bond
M. B. Harris
Pltff's Atty

92

No. 2422

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Douahoo Emmons & Co.
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs. J. F. Mayne
Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 84.90

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1901	" " $		" " $
	1.00	due Sr. J. 10¢	
Dec. 19 1902		Complaint filed	
Dec. 19		Summons issued	

No. 2423.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Clarence J. Miner — Plaintiff

vs.

George S. Ramsay — Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 75.00 & Int.

Geo. Cosgrave — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 21		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" "		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Dep. on Dec. 21st 1901	
Dec. 28		Dismiss	

No. 2424

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ah Lem — Plaintiff

vs.

Hop Kee, otherwise known as Lee Hop, otherwise known as Li Chong. — Defendant

Action Upon Promissory Note.

Demand $192.00 & Int. & atty fee

Geo. Cosgrave — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ 5.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 23		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
1902 Jan 10		Upon motion of plaintiff by his atty. it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed.	
		S. C. St. John, Justice of the Peace.	
Jan 10		Summons filed	
" "		Writ of attachment filed	

No. 2425.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Susie L. Coe
Plaintiff

vs.

Eugene Andersou
Defendant

Action on a/c.

Demand $ 21.00

W. D. Crichton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		Pltf. Pd. $ 1.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
			due Sr. J. 1.00	
Dec. 1902	23		Complaint filed	
Dec.	22		Summons issued	
1903	16		Summons returned & filed. showing personal service on Defendant at this Township Feb 16 1903	
Feb.	17		Defendant enters general demurrer orally.	
MAR 19 1903			This cause came on regularly for trial. P. C. Williams appeared for Plaintiff, The Defendant appeared in person. It was stipulated by counsel for Plaintiff, and the Defendant that Judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiff for the sum of $11.00 costs of suit.	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $11.00 principal. $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ ..... Total Judgement $.....

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this MAR 19 1903

Justice Fees	$4.00
Constable "	$
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$

No. 2426

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Anna Mack — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Mike Sullivan — *Defendant*

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 72.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901.	Pltf. Pd. $2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 24	Brasher Pd.	Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
1902 Jan 2		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed B. C. St. John Justice of the Peace	

No. 2427.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Shalepagian — Plaintiff

vs.

K. Alexander as administrator of the Estate of Ledarius Peterian deceased — Defendant

Action on an a/c.

Demand $ 260.00 & Int.

W. J. Timmin
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. A. Conn
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 26		Complaint filed and summons issued	
1902 Jan 2		Defendant by his attorney enters a general demurrer orally	
" "		Summons returned & filed.	
March 3		Now comes plaintiff by his attorney and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

98

No. 2428

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Clem Sachse — Plaintiff

vs.

J. S. Tannahill — Defendant

Action upon check

Demand $ 25.00

F. Kauke — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
1901	Knight due 3rd J. D.	Dumas	

Dec. 26. Complaint filed and summons issued

" 31 Summons returned and filed showing personal servie upon defendant at this Township on Dec. 31st 1901.

1902 Jan 8 It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant J. S. Tannahill personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 31st day of Dec. 1901 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said J. S. Tannahill defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for,

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 25.00 principal, $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 5.25 Total Judgement $ 30.25

Done in open court this JAN 8 1902

L. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Justice Fees	$ 4.00
Constable "	$ 1.25
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fee	$

5.25

No. 2429.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Milling Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

W. L. Dean
Defendant

Action upon a judgment

Demand $ 67.20 & Int

Fresno Milling Co.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Dec. 26		Complaint filed	
1902 Nov. 25		Summons issued	

dup St. & 2 cys

100

No. 2490

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Bessie Martin — Plaintiff

vs.

Harry A. Turner — Defendant

Action in Tort

Demand $ 295.00

H. Brickley — Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Cricklow — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $ Brickley. " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Dec 28 Complaint filed and summons issued

1902

Jan 2 Defendant files demurrer

" 16 Defendant files answer

" " Trial set for Jan 22nd 1902 at 10 A. M.

" 17 Subpoena issued in behalf of defendant

" 21 Above subpoena served returned & filed

" 22 Subpoena in behalf of plaintiff served returned and filed

" 21 Upon motion of plaintiff venire issued for 20 citizens qualified to sit as jurors.

" 22 At the time set all parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds.

Parties stipulate that the case be tried with 6 jurors. and J. R. McKay, J. Chance, T. R. Brewer, J. D. Galloway, W. D. Hill and C. McCoy are sworn as jurors to try the case. Bessie Martin L. R. Williams, L. Packwood, H. Martin, L. Martin B. Williams, A. F. Fragier, G. W. Hart, H. Hart Jr. H. Hart Sr. and D. Newman and T. E. Twining are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and E. Manning, B. McNeil, J. Hall R. Christian, T. Bratton. R. A. Hamilton & W. A. Turner are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant.

The matter is argued and submitted to the jury who retire for deliberation in charge of a sworn officer and afterward return into Court with the following verdict, "We the above entitled case we the jury find for the plaintiff for $125.00. The verdict is filed & jury discharged.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 125.00 principal. $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 58.90 Total Judgement $ 183.90

Done in open court this JAN 22 1902

J. C. St. John

Justice of the Peace.

Feby. 1 Defendant files notice & undertaking on appeal

JAN 30 1902

JAN 30 1902

No. 2431.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. D. Leavitt — Plaintiff

vs.

T. Bratton — Defendant

Action upon Promissory Note

Demand $70.00 + Int. & Atty fee

M. K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

S. J. Hinds — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901	Pltf. Pd. $5.50 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Dec. 28 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued
1902 Jan 9 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant this Th. on Jan. 7th 1902
" 10 Defendant by his atty. enters a general denial orally.
" 15 Upon motion of plaintiff trial set Jan 18th 1901 at 10 A. M.
" 18 At the time set parties in Court and ~~defendant~~ parties stipulate that the evidence in this case be considered as the evidence in the case of T. Bratton No. 2442 Page 112 this docket and that the jury be selected from those summoned to try said case No. 2442. The Court here makes an order that both parties deposit in Court the jury fee for one day. This the defendant declines to do and the Court excuses the jurors for the purpose of this trial to which defendant excepts. The trial then proceeds before the Court over the objections of defendant & defendant excepts. L. D. Leavitt and W. Shoemaker + Wm. Burnham are sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and T. Bratton and A. F. Frazier are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and taken under advisement.
" 21. The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $70.00 principal $6.62 Interest $10.00 and costs.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $70.00 principal, $6.62 interest, $10.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ Total Judgement $

P. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this JAN 21 1902

Received, $26.00 In Gen. Court Fees Payment Above Judgment

W. A. Pickett Constable.

" 23 Memo of costs filed.
" 23 Execution issued & del. to Hock.
Jan 30 Execution returned & filed partially satisfied to wit: 50.22

Received, $2.72 In Part Payment 50.22 / 26.22 / 24.22 Above Judgment

Joseph Hockenbury Agent.

JAN 30 1902

No. 2432.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. H. Trowbridge — Plaintiff

vs.

E. C. Dickey — Defendant

Action upon Promissory Note.

Demand $ 25.00 & Int. & Atty fee

W. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1901.	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Summons	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Dec. 30		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
1902. Jan 2		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Jan 2nd 1902.	
" 8		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant E. C. Dickey, personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 2nd day of January 1902 and that said defendant ha[s] not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said E. C. Dickey defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 25.00 principal, $ 5.83 interest, $ 10.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.50 Total Judgement $ 48.33	

P. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this JAN 8 1902

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$ 2.75
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$
	7.50

Jan 8 Execution issued & del to H.
Apr. 26 Execution issued

No. 2433.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. S. Dixon — Plaintiff

vs.

E. G. Somerlott — Defendant

Action Upon Promissory Note

Demand $ 50.00 + Int. + Atty fee

M. B. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan 2		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 3		Upon motion of plaintiff this case is dismissed	
		C. C. St John Justice of the Peace	
" "		Writ of attachment filed.	

JAN 3 1902

Received, $ 50.00

In Payment

Above Deposit as undertaking

M. B. Harris
Atty for Pltff

No. 24324

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Pirrina — Plaintiff

vs.

John Coco — Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 28 75

W. D. Crichton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan 2		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 20		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Jan. 16/1901.	
" 27		Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.	

No. 2435.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. D. Carver — Plaintiff

vs.

Belle Fiester also known as Mrs. Frank Fiester — Defendant

Action upon acct

Demand $ 22.14

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $ Knight Pd — PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan	3	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued.	

No. 1436

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

I. D. Knight — Plaintiff

vs.

Harry Kurkjian — Defendant

Action upon a/c

Demand $ 19.65

F. Kauke — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	2.00 Knight Pd.		
Jan 3		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 8		Upon motion F. Kauke atty. for plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed	

H. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2437

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fresno Loan and Savings Bank (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

E. D. Edwards and J. Jansen Defendants

Action upon Judgment

Demand $ 188.79

H. Hawes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Jan 3	Clk'd	Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 10	due St. J. 45	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon both defendants at the County of Fresno on Jany 10th 1902.	

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendants E. D. Edwards and J. Jansen personally, at and in the ____ Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 10th day of January 1902 and that said defendants have not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 10 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said E. D. Edwards and J. Jansen defendants be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendants E. D. Edwards & J. Jansen the sum of $ 188.79 principal. $ 52.86 interest, $ ____ Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.75 Total Judgement $ 248.40

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this JAN 22 1902

1902
Jan 22 Abstract of Judgment issued to Hawes .50

No. 2438

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. E. Mitchell Plaintiff
vs.
H. White
Defendant.

Defendant

Action upon Promissory Note

Demand $ 20.75 + Int. + atty fee

M. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan 6		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
" 7		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at the 7th Township on Jan 7th. 1901.	
" 7		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	

No. 2439.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mary Mooradian — Plaintiff

vs.

Isaac Sweet — Defendant

Action upon Promis. Note

Demand $ 168.00

W. J. Timmins — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan 6		Complaint filed and Summons issued	
" 6		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Jan. 6th. 1902.	

No 2440

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Richter — Plaintiff

vs.

Sto Bros. Hco. — Defendant

Action on O/C.

Demand $ 81. 20,

J. Richter
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 75	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
Jan 8		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment issued	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	

Jan 28/02 Def. files motion to dissolve

No. 2444.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. Giusti — Plaintiff

vs.

Gaetano Bartori — Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 20.00 + Int. + Atty fee

W. K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Crichton — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 / " " $ 3.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ 44.57 / " " $ 44.57

Jan 9 Complaint filed and summons issued

" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed

" " Writ of attachment issued

" " Defendant by his atty. mves a genral demurrer orally.

" " Defendant by his atty. applies to the Court for an order to discharge the attachment; and it appearing to the Court that said defendant has appeared herein and that plaintiff has been given due notice of the motion, it is ordered that upon executing and filing in this Court an undertaking in favor of the plaintiff in the sum of One Hundred Dollars with two or more sureties that the property of defendant attached under the writ issued herein be released in accordance with sections 554 & 555 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

" 9 Defendant files undertaking in the sum of $100. in accordance with the Courts order, and it is ordered that all property held under attachment in this case be released and delivered to defendant.

" 10 Summons returned & filed served

" 24 Defendant files answer.

" Parties in Court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. F. Giusti, D. Gapatini and E. Disperati are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and G. Bartori is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendant. A. Zobelli being sworn as interpreter. The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for $ 20.00 principal $2.57 Interest & $10.00 Atty fee

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 20.00 principal, $ 2.57 interest, $ 10.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 11.70 Total Judgement $ 44.57

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this JAN 24 1902

Jan 28/02 Def. files motion to appear
" " " undertaking on "
" " Hrg. set for Feb. 28/1902
" " Hrg. had & motion denied
" " Def. files undertaking on appeal
F. Giusti
Plaintiff

No. 2442.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. Bratton — Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Preston — Defendant

Action claim & delivery of personal property

Demand $ 190.75

D. J. Hinds — Attorney for Plaintiff

M. K. Harris — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ 3.00 " " $

Jan 10 Complaint filed. showing acknowledgement & servic of summons & copy of complaint.

" " Defendant mkes a genral denial orally.

" " Parties stipulate orally that the trial be set for Jany. 15 th. 1901 at 10 o'clock A. M.

" 15 Parties stipulate that the trial be postponed to Jan 18/02 at 10 A M

" 17 Venire issued for 15 jurors upon motion of defendant.

" 18 At the time set parties in court and the order as to deposit of the jury fee for 1 day and the case of Leavitt vs Bratton No. 2431 of this docket the plaintiff herein declines so to do and the trial proceeds before the Court over plaintiff's objection and exception. all evidence in said case No. 2431 of this docket relevant in this action it is stipulated between the parties shall be considered as evidence in this case. and in addition to said evidence T. Bratton and D. J. Hinds are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and J. Hockenbury and L. D. Leavitt are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and taken under advisement.

Jan 21 The Court this day finds for the plaintiff as to the following property to wit: 1. Road wagon 1 Set of single harness and 1. Whip of the total value of $50.15 and as to the other property mentioned in the complaint the Court finds for defendant.

Wherefore judgment is here rendred and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the possession thereof certain road wagon, single harness and whip or the sum of $50.15 the value thereof in case a delivery cannot be had together with costs herein taxed at $

E. A. St John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2443.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joe Kirkner — Plaintiff

vs.

Chas S. Sachse — Defendant

Action for services rendered

Demand $ 200.00

Henry Brickley — Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank Kauke — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Jan 10 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 11 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Jan 11th 1902.

" 15 Defendant files demurrer.

" 21 Defendant files answer

" 31 Trial set for Feby 4th 1902 at 2 P. M.

Feb. 4 At the time set all parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds.

E. Dispenati and Joe Kirkner are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and J. W. Dumas and Chas. S. Sachse are sworn and testify in behalf of difendant.

The matter is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.

" 11 The Court this day finds for defendant for costs.

Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff take nothing by reason of this action and that the said defendant do have and recover of and from the plaintiff his costs ~~costs~~ herein taxed at $ 3.00

Done in open court this FEB 11 1902

C. C. Sokes
Justice of the Peace

Feb. 13 Plaintiff files notice of appeal

" " " " undertaking on appeal

No. 24444

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mr. H. Degarmo and
Mrs. H. Degarmo
Plaintiffs

vs.

Mrs. P. F. Gilbert
Defendant

Action upon account &c

Demand $ 102.90

E. A. Williams
Attorney for Plaintiff

Smith & Ostrander
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Dumas.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan 13		Complaint filed and summons issued.	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 20		Defendant files demurrer	
" "		Defendant files demand for itemized account	
" "		Defendant files notice of exception to sureties	
" 21		Pltff files Bill of particulars	
" "		Notice to sureties to justify	

No. 2445

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. J. Hansen — Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Merritt — Defendant

Action Money had & recd,

Demand $ 5, 00

F. E. Cook,
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan 15		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 28		Upon motion of F. E. Cook atty. for plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed.	
		H. S. St John	

No. 2446.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Ring Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Bacon and Elmer Damon Defendant

Action upon a check

Demand $ 55.35

W. D. Crichton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan 16		Complaint filed and summons issued	
May 27		Defendant Frank Bacon appears personally and files a general demurrer orally—	
June 11		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant Frank Bacon at this Township on May 1902 and upon defendant Elmer Damon at this Township on June 10th 1902,	
Dec. 3		Stipulation filed that the demurrer of defendant Frank Bacon be overruled and that he have two days in which to answer and it is so ordered,	
1903 Feby 5		It appearing that the defendant Frank Bacon has failed to appear and answer as above required and stipulated and the time for same having Expired on motion of Plaintiff his default is hereby Entered,	

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Elmer Damon personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 10th day of June 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Elmer Damon defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for,

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendants the sum of $ 55.31/100 principal, $ 3.86/100 interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.50 Total Judgement $ 65.70

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace of said 3rd Township.

Done in open court this FEB 5 1903

Feb 5 Abstract of judgement issued & delivered to W. D. Crichton

Due Smith $ 25

No. 2447

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Doualoo Emmons Vleo
a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

H. H. Doyle — Defendant

Action on a/c.

Demand $ 15.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 1.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan 16 1903		Complaint filed	
Jan 3		Summons issued	

No. 2448

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. P. Brooks
Plaintiff

vs.

Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company (a corporation)
Defendant

Action for damages to per. prop.

Demand $150.00

Everts & Ewing and Bernhard & Sutherland
Attorney for Plaintiff

C. N. Sterry & T. J. Norton, Los Angeles Cal
Attorney for Defendant

DATE		Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
1907 Jan	18		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Feby	17		Defendant files demurrer	
1908 "	"		Defendant files motion	
Aug.	10		Notice of motion to dismiss, and Affidavit filed.	
"	21		On motion of counsel for attorney for the defendants, this action is dismissed	

G. W. Smith
J. P.

No. 2449.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Phoenix Raisin Seeding and packing Co. (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Leader Defendant

Action Prom. Note

Demand $ 35.00 & Int

H. H. Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan 18		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 21		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Jan 21st 1902.	

No. 2450.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. T. Barr — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Davis — Defendant

Action upon o/c

Demand $ 12.00

W. D. Crichton
Attorney for Plaintiff

T. Laning
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan 18		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
Feby 7		Defendant mtns a general demurrer orally.	
" 8		Upon motion of Plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	
		B. C. St. John Justice of the Peace	
" 8		Summons filed	
" "		Writ of attachment filed.	

No. 2451.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Phillip Tolmer and Jack Kilmier
Plaintiffs

vs.

Richard Emergian
Defendant

Action for money had & received

Demand $ 100.00

A. W. Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Crichton
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 3.50	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan 20		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 24		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Jan 24th 1902.	
" 29		Defendant by his attorney files a general demurrer orally.	
Feb. 1		Now comes defendant by his attorney and consents that demurrer be overruled and is given until Feby 4/02 to file answer.	
" "		Parties in court and stipulate that trial be set for Feby 6th 1902 at 10 A. M.	
" 3		Defendant files answer	
" 6		At the time set all parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. P. Tolmer, J. Kilmier, and D. B. Harris are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiffs and K. Emergian, W. J. Mattingly, A. M. Shoosham and R. Emergian are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is argued and submitted and taken under advisement.	
" 11		The court this day finds for defendant. Wherefore judgment is hereunder and entered and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff take nothing herein by reason of his action and it is further ordered and adjudged that the defendant do have and recover of and from the plaintiffs the sum of $5.50 as defendant's costs herein.	

Done in open court
this FEB 11 1902

F. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

Feby 13 Defendant files Mem. of costs.
Mch 7 Plaintiffs files Notice of appeal
" " Plaintiffs files undertaking on appeal.

No. 2452.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. B. Johnson — Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Holladay — Defendant

Action upon acct. a/c.

Demand $ 9.00

Everts & Ewing — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $	due Sh. J. 20	" " $
Jan 20		Complaint filed and summons issued	

No. 2453

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B. D. Vendike
Plaintiff

vs.

B. F. Lewson
Defendant

Action Claim & delivery of personal property

Demand $ 70.00

Frank Kauke
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan 21		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this T.P. on Jan 21/02	
" 22		Upon motion of Frank Kauke atty for plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is dismissed.	

B C St John
Justice of the Peace.

No 2454

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles E. Stewart
Plaintiff

vs.

George Petit and
Andre Julliane
Defendants

Action for Labor

Demand $ 149.75

W. J. Timmins
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS. Dumas	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
July 23		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" "		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon both defendants at this Township on Jan 23rd 1902.	

No. 2455.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. F. Haley — Plaintiff

vs.

F. F. Brasher — Defendant

Action for money had and received

Demand $ 33.35

H. Brickley
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Crichton
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ 6.75 / " $
	Brickley	2/24 Paid to Dft	6.75

Jan 23 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 28 Summons returned and filed showing personal

" " service upon defendant at this Township on Jan 28th 1902.

Feb. 3 Defendant files demurrer.

" 7 Defendant files answer and deposits in Court $6.75 as offer in full settlement of plaintiff's claim

" 24 Dep. of $6.75 Paid to defendant in person.

" 14 Parties in Court and stipulate that the trial proceed at this time.

J. M. Bostick & H. Brickley are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff. Plaintiff rests.

Defendant moves the Court for a nonsuit upon the ground that the action was not begun by the real party in interest. The motion is argued, submitted and taken under advisement.

" 18 The Court this day grants defendant's motion for non-suit and it is ordered that this case be dismissed.

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2456

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B. A. Bell — Plaintiff

vs.

Emma Meyer — Defendant

Action for money paid out

Demand $ 29.30

F. E. Cook — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd.	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd.
1902	" " $		" " $
	7.00 Pd F. E. Cook		

Jan 23 Complaint filed and summons issued
Feby 12 Plaintiff files written notice to dismiss action, and by reason of said notice it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed—

D. A. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

No. 2457

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Phoenix Raisin Seeding & Packing Company, a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

Dr. G. A. Hare — Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 154.06

H. H. Welch — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 4.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Dismissed.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan 23		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 24		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Jan 24th 1902.	
Feb. 3		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Dr. G. A. Hare personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 24th day of January 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Dr. G. A. Hare defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 154.06 principal. $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 5.00 Total Judgement $ 159.06	

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this FEB 3 1902

1902 Feby	8	Abstract of Judgment issued & del. to H. H. Welch	
June	7	Execution issued,	
		JUN 6 1902 Received, $ 159.06 In Full Payment Above Judgment H. H. Welch Agt. for Plff.	
"	9	Execution returned & filed fully satisfied.	

No. 2458

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Malaga Co-operative Packing Association (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Westman Defendant

Action for Money Loaned

Demand $ 194.47

F. E. Cook Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	5.00	Preston	

Jan 24 Complaint filed and summons issued

" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed

" " Writ of attachment issued

" " Writ of attachment returned and filed levied

Mch. 26 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at and in the County of Tulare, State of California on the 5th day of March 1902.

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant W. J. Westman personally, at and in the _____ Township, County of Tulare, State of California, on the 5th day of March 1902 and that said defendant ha[s] not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 20 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said W. J. Westman defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff, it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 194.47 principal $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.25 Total Judgement $ 201.72

Done in open court this MAR 26 1902

A. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Mch. 26 Execution issued & delivered to Preston

June 17 Upon motion of plaintiff the above judgment is credited with $ 33.35

No. 2459.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. S. Bagley — Plaintiff

vs.

B. H. Paul — Defendant

Action upon and o/c.

Demand $ 24.00

F. S. Bagley. — Attorney for Plaintiff

M. B. Harris — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
	Knight due St J. 2.00		
Jan 24		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Oct. 31		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Oct. 30th. 1902.	
Nov. 3		Defendant files demurrer.	

No. 2460.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. G. Chamnesian
Plaintiff

vs.

Raley Chapman, Company
a corporation
Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 58.60

W. B. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

C. D. Wright (San Jose)
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 3.00 PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan	28	Complaint filed and summons issued
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
"	"	Writ of attachment issued
Feby	12	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at the County of Santa Clara State of California on Jany 30th 1902.
"	"	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy-
"	18	Defendant files special appearance
"	"	Defendant files special answer.
Mch	10	Defendant files supplemental answer
"	"	Parties in court and stipulate that the trial proceed at this time. M. L. Rowell, and J. G. Chamnesian are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff, and M. L. Rowell is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendant. The matter is argued and submitted and taken under advisement.
"	17	The court this day finds for plaintiff for $58.60 and costs.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $58.60 principal $ —— interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ ..... Total Judgement $.....

Done in open court this MAR 17 1902

W. J. Johnson
Justice of the Peace.

" 18 Plaintiff files Mem. of Costs.

No. 2461-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Remy — Plaintiff

vs.

Owen H. Hayes — Defendant

Action on

Demand $ 15.15

W. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

due St. J. 4.00

Jan 29 Complaint filed and summons issued
Feby 1 Summons returned and filed showing personal servin upon defendant at this Township on Feby 1st 1902.
" 5 Defendant appears personally and and enters a general denial orally.
" 11 Parties in court and trial set for Feby. 15/02 - 10 A. M.
" 15 At the time set all parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. John Remy is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and Owen H. Hayes is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and taken under advisement.
" 21 The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $15.15 & costs.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 15.15 principal. $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $ ..... Total Judgement $ .....

Done in open court this FEB 21 1902

B. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

No. 2462

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. H. Wilson — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Ray White — *Defendant*

Action on and a/c

Demand $ 16.86

W. A. Conn
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	*Pltf. Pd.* $	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd.* $
1901	" " $		" " $
Jan 30		Complaint filed	

No. 24603.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. H. Wilson — Plaintiff

vs.

E. W. Drury and Mrs. E. Drury — Defendants

Action upon acct &c

Demand $ 44.89

H. A. Comm
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Jan 31		Complaint filed	

No. 2464

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Bessie C. Burks
Plaintiff

vs.

Chas. L. Hill and
J. L. Reeder
Defendants

Action upon Promissory Note.

Demand $100.00 & Int. & Atty Fee

Geo. Cosgrave
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
			due Sr J. 5.00	
Feby	1		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	7		Defendant Chas. L. Hill enters a general denial orally—	
"	12		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant Chas. L. Hill on Feb. 3/1902 and upon defendant J. L. Reeder on Feby 12/1902 at and in the 3rd T/p.	
"	24		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant J. L. Reeder personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 17th day of Feby 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said J. L. Reeder defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.	
"	"		upon motion of plaintiff trial as to defendant Chas. L. Hill is set for Feb. 28/1902 at 10 A. M.	
"	28		Written notice of time of trial filed showing service upon defendant Chas. L. Hill personally—	
"	"		at the time set plaintiff in court and after waiting for more than one hour thereafter and the defendant failing to appear in any manner whatsoever at the trial and it appearing that the defendant Chas L. Hill had legal notice thereof it is ordered that the trial proceed in his absence. W. T. Burks is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff. The original note is filed as evidence the matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for $100.00 principal. $240.00 interest. $15.00 attorneys fee and costs.	
			Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $100.00 principal. $240.00 interest, $15.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $6.00 Total Judgement $361.00	
			Done in open court this Feb 28/02 — S. C. St. John, Justice of the Peace.	

Mch. 12/02 Abstract of Judgment filed
" 14/02 Execution issued
" 26/02 Order made setting judgment to appear in Sup. Proceedings.

No. 2465

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fresno County Abstract Company a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

Lazar Popovich — Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 17.25

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Feby 5		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" "		Summons returned filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Off. on Feby 5th 1902.	
" 7		Now comes plaintiff and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

D. C. Stolp
Justice of the Peace

No. 2466.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. J. Burleigh — Plaintiff

vs.

B. F. Kite — Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 84.65

Frank Kauke — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Feby 5		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" "		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Feb. 5/1902	
" 8		Now comes plaintiff by his attorney and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

D. R. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2467.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. E. Collins — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Charles Strohlin — *Defendant*

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 12 15

T. E. Collins
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
	due Sh.f 2 75		
Feby 5		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	

No. 2468-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. Lewis — Plaintiff

vs.

D. L. Hogue — Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 16.00

E. E. Shepard
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.50 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Feby 6		Complaint filed	
Dec. 3		Summons issued	
OCT 19 1903		On motion of Plaintiff, this action is hereby dismissed.	

J. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace of the Third Township, County of Fresno.

No. 2469.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. J. Hammond — Plaintiff

vs.

B. F. Barlow — Defendant

Action on and o/c

Demand $ 24.70

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Feby 7		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of Attachment issued	
" 27		Summons returned & filed.	
" "		Writ returned & filed.	
" "		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is dismissed	

S. A. Johns
Justice of the Peace

140

No. 2470.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Dr A. J Pedlar — Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Chedister — Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 28.50

M. K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
		Due Pltf $ 27.50		
Feby	8		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"		Writ of attachment issued	
"	27		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Feby 13th 1902	
"	"		Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.	

No. 2471-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. L. Moore — Plaintiff

vs.

Wong Chung Man and Ah Dain — Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 16.35

W. K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Feby 11		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" "		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Jp. on Feby 11th 1902.	
" 19		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed.	

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

142

No. 2472

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. J. O'Neil, Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Dicky, C. S. Pierce and Joseph W. Spinney, Defendants

Action upon a bond

Demand $ 299.00

Jas. Gallagher, Attorney for Plaintiff

S. L. Carter acty for J. Spinney } L. L. Cory, Attorney for Defendants W. J. Dicky & C. S. Pierce

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ 1.50 / " " $
		due Sr. 1.50	
Feby 11		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 12		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon all defendants at this Township on Feby 11th 1902.	
" 15		Defendants W. J. Dicky and C. S. Pierce file demurrer.	
" 18		Defendant J. Spinney files demurrer	
" 20		Upon motion of plaintiff hearing of demurrer set for Feby 27th 1902 at 10 A. M.	
" 27		Demurrer submitted and overruled and defendants given five days to answer.	
" "		Notice to defendants of overruling of demurrer filed	
March 4		Defendants W. J. Dicky and C. S. Pierce file answer	
" "		Defendant Joe Spinney files answer	
" 12		Upon motion of plaintiff trial is set for March 19/02. 2 P.M.	
" 19		at the time set all parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds, — W. J. O'Neill and Geo. D. Graham are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff. The matter to submitted on briefs.	
May 16		the court this day finds for plaintiff for $175.00	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 175.00 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 8.75 Total Judgement $ 183.75

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this MAY 16 1902

" 16		Plaintiff files Mem. of costs.
" 19		Defendants W. J. Dicky & C. S. Pierce file undertaking on appeal
" 21		Defendant Joe Spinney files notice of motion to retax costs.
" 27		Motion to retax costs argued and submitted and the motion is denied
June 14		Defendants file notice of appeal

No. 2473.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Valley Lumber Company
(a corporation)
Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Green
Defendant

Action upon O/C.

Demand $ 34.15

M. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Feby	11		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"		affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"		Writ of attachment issued	
"	12		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at 2nd Township, Fresno County on Feby. 12th 1902.	

144

No. 2474.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. J. Brasher — Plaintiff

vs.

V. N. Humphill — Defendant

Action Appeal a/c.

Demand $ 42.87

W. D. Crichton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 5.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Feby 12		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 19		Now comes plaintiff personally and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed. J. C. St. John Justice of the Peace	
" 19		Now comes plaintiff personally and states to the court that his motion to dismiss the above entitled action was made by mistake and was an error upon his part, and upon said showing it is ordered that the judgment & order of dismissal be and the same is set asside and made void.	
" 24		Defendant appears personally and files a genral denial orally, and upon his motion it is ordered that the trial be set for Feb. 26/02, at 10 AM	
26		At the time set plaintiff together with his witnesses in court and after waiting for more than one hour thereafter, and the defendant failing to appear either in person or by representative and he having had legal notice of the time set for trial it is ordered that the trial proceed in his absence, C. C. Lewellin, Jess Norton & J. J. Brasher are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff. The matter is submitted and the court finds for plaintiff for $42.87	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 42.87 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 25.45 Total Judgement $ 68.32

Done in open court this FEB 26 1902

J. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

26 Mem. of costs filed

Mch. 3/02 Abstract of Judgment issued.
" 22/02 Writ of Attachment returned filed "

Received, $ 68.20 Payment
In full
Above Judgment
J. J. Brasher
Pltff.

No. 2475

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Emma M. Taft — Plaintiff

vs.

Edward W. Drury — Defendant

Action upon Promissory Note

Demand $ 31.68

Emma M. Taft
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 4.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Dunston	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Feby 19		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
" "		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied.	
Mch. 13		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at the 4th Township Fresno county on March 12th 1902	

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Edward W. Drury personally, at and in the 4th Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 12th day of March 1902, and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 10 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Edward W. Drury defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 31.68 principal, $ 6.98 interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $ 10.50 Total Judgement $ 49.16

31.68
6.98
10.50
49.16

E. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this MAR 24 1902

Mch 24 Execution issued & delivered to Dunston

" " Execution returned & filed partially satisfied to wit in the sum of $41.10 pd. into court

MAY 19 1903 Execution issued.

FEB-1-1907 Ex. issued.

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$ 5.75
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$
	10.50

MAR 24 1902

Received, $ 5.75
In full Payment
Above Court fees
Jeff Brentham
Dpty Court

No. 2476

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Hockenberry
Plaintiff

vs.

W^m Dunlop.
Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 200.^{00} & Int & costs

J. Hockenberry
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
	due St. 27½	Dunas	
Feby 20		Complaint filed and summons issued.	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 21		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Feb. 21/1902	
" 25		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	

No. 2477

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Lucy Hickman — Plaintiff

vs.

Bessie Egan — Defendant

Action upon a/c

Demand $ 13.25

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $	Dismissed	" " $

Feb. 20 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 21 Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this T. on Feb. 21/1902

" 24 Defendant appears personally and enters a general denial orally.

" " Trial set March 1st 1902 at 10 A.M.

Mch. 1 At the time set parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. Lucy Hickman is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and Bessie Egan is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendant the matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for 6.00

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 6.00 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.25 Total Judgement $ 12.25

Done in open court this Mch 1st 1902

S. A. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Mch 6 Execution issued

Apr 3 Satisfaction of Judgment filed

No. 2478.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Lucy Hickman — Plaintiff

vs.

Allie Ogan — Defendant

Action ars o/c

Demand $ 17.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $ /	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Feby 20		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 21		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Feb. 21/1902	
" 24		Defendant appears personally and enters a general denial orally.	
" "		Trial set for March 1st 1902.	
Mch. 1		At the time set all parties in Court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. Lucy Hickman is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and Allie Ogan is sworn and testifies in behalf defendant. The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for $6.00	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 6.00 principal. $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.25 Total Judgement $ 12.25

Done in open court this Mch. 1" 1902.

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Apr. 3. Satisfaction of Judgment filed see papers No. 2477.

No. 2479

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Owens — Plaintiff

vs.

E. H. Hardell — Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 25.00 & Int. & Atty fee

M. K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 4.75 " " $ Hock	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Feby 21		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 26		Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.	
Mch. 21		Summons returned & filed unserved	
" 28		Alias summons upon motion of plaintiff	
Apl. 3		Alias summons returned and filed showing personal servin upon defendant at this Township on Mch. 29th. 1902.	
" 4		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant E. H. Hardell personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 29th day of March 1902 and that said defendant ha(s) not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said E H Hardell defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff, it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 25.00 principal, $ 9.75 interest, $ 10.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 8.75 Total Judgement $ 47.50 Done in open court this APR 4 1902 E. C. St. John Justice of the Peace.	
" 4		Abstract of Judgment issued & del. to Hockenberry.	

No. 2480

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. M. Borello — Plaintiff

vs.

Jessie Howard — Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 14.60

W. D. Cricliton — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Feby 21		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		affidavit and undertaking for attachment issued.	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 24		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon deffendant at this P. on Feby 21 st 1902,	
" "		Upon motion of plaintiff personally it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

D. A. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2481

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Hockenberry Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Craig Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 11 40

J. Hockenberry
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ Pd	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
Feb. 21		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 24		Defendant appears personally and moves	
		general denial orally, and also says	
		that plaintiff's second cause of action is barred	
		by subdivision No. 3 of section No. 339, C.C.P. of the	
		State of California	
" "		Summons returned and filed served	
" 25		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	
" "		Upon motion of plaintiff trial set for Feb. 28/02 at 2 P.M.	
" 26		Written notice of trial filed.	

No. 2452

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Susan A. Thurston and Fannie B. Minor
Plaintiff

vs.

L. A. Blasingame
Defendant

Action damages for Tort

Demand $ 299.

Geo. Cosgrave & M. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 20.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Feby. 24		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 26		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Feby 26th 1902.	
" 27		Defendant appears personally and enters a general denial orally orally.	
Apr. 30		Upon motion of plaintiff trial set for May 20th 1902 at 2 P. M.	
May 6		Notice of trial mailed to defendant at Fresno P.O.	
" 15		Now comes plaintiffs by their atty, Geo. Cosgrave and upon their motion by him made it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2483.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. W. Anderson — Plaintiff

vs.

J. S. Tannahill — Defendant

Action on a/c.

Demand $ 8 15

A. W. Anderson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	2.00		

Feby 25 Complaint filed and summons issued
Mch 3 Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Mch 3rd 1902.

No. 2484

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. Larguier — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. L. Chambers — Defendant

Action on an a/c "

Demand $ 15.00

E. Larguier
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ ____ " " $ ____ due Sh'f. 4.75 PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ ____ " " $ ____
Feby	26	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	"	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Feby 26th 1902.	
Mch	4	It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Geo. L. Chambers personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 26 day of February 1902 and that said defendant ha[s] not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Geo. L. Chambers defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for,	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 15.00 principal, $ ____ interest, $ ____ Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.50 Total Judgement $ 22.50

Done in open court
this MAR 4 — 1902

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

" " Writ of attachment filed

Mch " 4 Execution issued & del. to Dumas

No. 2485

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. H. Wilson — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Dougal Baird — *Defendant*

Action on a/c

Demand $ 34.90 & Int

W. A. Corum
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
Feby 27		Complaint filed	

No. 2486.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. George — Plaintiff

vs.

Custer Creason — Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 63.00 & Int

W. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Feby 27		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 28		Upon motion of plaintiff this case is dismissed	

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2487

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. W. Vaughn and J. E. Faber a partnership doing business under the firm name & style of Vaughn & Faber Plaintiff's

vs.

J. Garabedian Defendant

Action on contract

Demand $ 100.00

W. K. Harris Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $

Feby 28 Complaint filed and summons issued

" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed

" " Writ of attachment issued.

Mch 4 Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Mch. 3rd 1902

" 10 It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant J. Garabedian personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 3rd day of March 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: ..... days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff... it is ordered that the default of said J. Garabedian defendant.. be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff... it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

" " C. W. Vaughn is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiffs. The original contract sued upon filed as evidence. The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff as prayed for in the complaint.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $100.00 principal. $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $ 10.50 Total Judgement $ 110.50

Done in open court this MAR 10 1902

D. C. St. John Justice of the Peace.

Mch. 10 Writ of attachment returned & filed.

" 12 Abstract of Judgment issued.

Ex. issued.

OCT 22 1903

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$ 5.75
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fee	$
	$ 10.50

No. 2488

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Agnes J. Burbank — Plaintiff

vs.

J. D. Whitlaw — Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 30.00

A. J. Burbank — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Feby 28 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued
" " Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.
Mch. 11 Plaintiff files affidavit for order for servin of summons by publication.
" " Order made that service of summons be made by publication as per order filed herein.
Apl. 8 Summons returned & filed
" " Affidavit of mailing copy of summons and complaint filed
" 12 Affidavit of publication of summons filed showing that service by publication was performed in accordance with the courts order and that servie was completed on April 12th 1902.
May 5. herein It appearing to the court from the affidavit filed herein that the service of the summons was made upon defendant by publication in accordance with law and the order made by the court on Mch. 11th 1902 to which reference is here made and that a copy of the summons herein together with a copy of the complaint was mailed to said defendant at his last known residence in accordance with said order and it further appearing to me that the service of said summons by publication was completed on April 12th 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer, or in any manner whatsoever and that the legal time therefor to wit 20 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said defendant J. D. Whitlaw be and the same is hereby entered.
Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that Judgment be entered

see page 159.

No. 2489.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Johannsen — Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Hill — Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 17.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
March 1		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 3		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Jp. on March 1st 1902.	
" 4		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	
		S. C. St. John Justice of the Peace.	

Agnes J. Burbank vs J. D. Whitlaw — Bk 1 from page 158.

herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 30.00 principal, $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 12.25 Total Judgement $ 42.25

Done in open court this MAY 5 1902

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

May 5 " " Mem. of costs filed. Execution issued

160

No. 2490

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. T. Fraser — Plaintiff

vs.

Sam Coombs — Defendant

Action C. S. D/C

Demand $ 15.00

D. T. Fraser — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 / " " $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Mch. 1		Complaint filed & summons issued	
" 3		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Mch. 3rd 1902	
" 10		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Sam Coombs personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of ....., State of California, on the 3rd day of March 1902 and that said defendant ha O not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Sam Coombs defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff, it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 15.00 principal, $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 5.25 Total Judgement $ 20.25	
		D. C. St. John — Justice of the Peace.	
		Done in open court this Mch. 10/1902.	
Aug 8		Execution issued	
" 28		Execution returned & filed fully satisfied	

No. 2491.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo. Humphle — Plaintiff

vs.

Allie Trumble — Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 117.90

Attorney for Plaintiff
Thompson & Orman

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Mch 4		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 7		Defendant by his attorneys enter a general demurrer orally.	
" "		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this T'p. on Mch. 5/1902.	

No. 2492.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. H. Moore — Plaintiff

vs.

Kanakawa Bros — Defendant(s)

Action on a/c

Demand $ 219.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $ Const. fee pd. to Burnham	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ 225.50 " " $
Feby 5		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment issued	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" "		Summons returned & filed showing service upon defendant at this Tp. on Mch. 5/02. at.	
" 6		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

H C Wilson
Justice of the Peace

MAR 6 - 1902
Received, $ 225.50
In full Payment
Above demand + costs
J H Moore
Plaintiff

No. 2493.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. B. Holland
Plaintiff

vs.

Harry R. Wilson
Defendant

Action on an a/c.

Demand $ 25.90

W. B. Holland
Attorney for Plaintiff

H. R. Wilson
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $ ✓		" " $

Mch. 6 Complaint filed

" 14 Summons issued

" 17 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on March 15th. 1902

" 20 Defendant files answer pleading up statute of limitation, as his defense.

No. 2494

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs. Laura Hanson
Plaintiff

vs.

A. R. Comfort
Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 100.00 + Int + atty fee

A. W. Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
	due St. f. 2.00		
Mch. 7		Complaint filed	
Apr. 26		Summons issued	
"	"	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township, on April 26th, 1902.	

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant A. R. Comfort personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 26th day of April 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said A. R. Comfort defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff, it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 100.00 principal, $ 23.00 interest, $ 20.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 4.75 Total Judgement $ 147.75

Done in open court
this MAY 6 1902

J. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

No. 2495.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. R. Wilson — Plaintiff

vs.

Albert Brouge — Defendant

Action on o/c

Demand $ 100.00

Thompson & Prince — Attorney for Plaintiff

Jas. Gallagher — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

March 8 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on March 8th. 1902.
" 11 Defendant by his attorney enters a general demurrer, orally,
" " Order made that plaintiff furnish to defendant an itemized statement of his claim.
" " Order for itemized statement filed.
Apr. 8 Upon motion of plaintiff trial set for Apr. 15/02 at 2 P. M.
" 15 At the time set parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds.
" " Defendant enters a general denial orally.
" " A. Hall, E. S. Glass, H. R. Wilson are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and Albert Brouge and Al. Dilly are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant.
The matter is submitted and the court finds for defendant for costs.

Wherefore it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff take nothing by reason of his action and that said defendant do have and recover of plaintiff the sum of $ as his costs herein.

Done in open court this Apr. 15 1902

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2496.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. I. Falk
Plaintiff

vs.

O. M. Thompson and
Nancy H. Thompson
Defendants

Action for damages in Tort.

Demand $ 150.00

N. D. Tupper.
Attorney for Plaintiff

M. K. Harris
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 3.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Mch. 11 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 12 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon both defendants at this Township on March 11th 1902

" 15 Defendants file answer

" 11 Parties stipulate that trial be postponed to april 18th. 1902 at 10 A. M.

" 17 at the time set parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. O. M. Thompson, D. I. Falk, —— Hill F. Townsend, E. Ketchum, B. Williams and Geo. Ketchum are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and J. H. House, E. R. Mitchell, J. F. Cutler, Dr. Turner, E. P. Linsey, R. H. Tuned, M. Calamus and O. M. Thompson, are sworn and testify in behalf of defendants. The matter is submitted parties reserving right to file briefs.

May 16. The court this day finds for plaintiff $ 50.00 against defendant O. M. Thompson and that defendant Nancy H. Thompson is not liable. Judgment forthwith.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant O. M. Thompson the sum of $ 50.00 principal, $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 18.90 Total Judgement $ 68.90

Done in open court this MAY 16 1902

D. I. Johnson
Justice of the Peace.

" 20 Mem. of costs filed

June 14 upon motion of N. D. Tupper atty. for plaintiff it is ordered that this judgment be satisfied in full—

No. 2497.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Democrat Publishing Company, a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

The Black Mountain Petroleum Company, a corporation — Defendant

Action upon acct

Demand $ 100.63

A. C. Williams — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 / " " $ 3.50	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $

Mch. 14 Complaint filed and summons issued

" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed

" " Writ of attachment issued

Apr " Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon the defendant at the County of Los Angeles in the County of Los Angeles, State of California on the 21st day of March, 1902,

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant The Black Mountain Petroleum Company personally, at and in the ..... Township, County of Los Angeles, State of California, on the 21st day of March 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit 20 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Black Mountain Petroleum Company defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 100.63 principal. $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.25 Total Judgement $ 107.88

S. C. St. John

Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this APR 14 1902

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$ 1.50
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$ 1.00
Witness Fees	$
	7.25

Apr. 14 Execution issued,

168

No. 2498

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. Radin — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Lyons — Defendant

Action upon an a/c.

Demand $ 18.00

W. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $4.75 Justice fee " " $1.00 Court fee	PROCEEDINGS. Cunningham	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Mch. 14 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued
" 21 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Mch. 17th 1902

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Geo. Lyons personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 17th day of Mch. 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Geo. Lyons defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff, it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $18.00 principal, $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.25 Total Judgement $ 25.25

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this MAR 24 1902

Mch. 24 Execution issued & del. to Cunningham

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$ 2.50
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$
	7.25

MAR 25 1902
Received, $ 4.00
In full Payment
Above Court fees
D. L. Cunningham
Deputy Const.

MAR 24 1902
Received, $ 17.50
In Payment
Above Partial Payt of Judgmt.
Jacob Hochenberger
Agt. of Pltff.

No. 2499-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Kern — Plaintiff

vs.

Emil Melhorn — Defendant

Action for money had & received &c

Demand $ 10.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $

Mch 15 Complaint filed and summons issued.

" 22 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at 7 Th. Fresno County on March 20th 1902.

No. 2500,

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Cora E. Beach
Plaintiff

vs.

E. R. Winters and
Lois B. Winters
Defendant

Action Upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 250.00 + Int.

F. E. Cook
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Mch. 17		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
Apr. 7		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendants at this Township on Mch. 17th. 1902.	
" 9		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is dismissed	
		J. C. St. John Justice of the Peace	
" "		Written notice to dismiss filed.	

No. 2501.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Douglas Emmons & Co. — Plaintiff

vs.

E. H. Heberer — Defendant

Action on acct

Demand $ 24.60

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
Mch 17	1.00	Complaint filed	

No. 2502.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. L. Towne — Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Covey — Defendant

Action upon written contract

Demand $165.06

W. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank W. Smith
Bakersfield and A. W. Drew W. D. Crichton
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 3.00 1.50	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Mch. 17		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 19		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant in the County of Kern State of California on Mch. 18th 1902	
" "		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	
" 29		Defendant files written demand for itemized statement	
Apr. 1		Plaintiff files itemized statement.	
" 5		Defendant files demurrer	
" "		Upon motion of plaintiff hearing of demurrer is set for Apr. 10th 1902 at 9 A. M.	
" 10		At the time set all parties in court the demurrer is submitted and by the court sustained and plaintiff is given two days to file ~~answer~~ amended complaint.	
" 10		Plaintiff files amended complaint.	
" 15		Defendant files answer	
"		Upon motion of plaintiff trial set for Apr. 24/02 - 10 A. M.	
" 22		Defendant files notice of substitution of attorney appointing A. W. Drew as his attorney	
Apr. 24		Trial continued to May 12th 1902 at 10 A. M.	
May 12		Subpoena returned & filed -	
" "		At the time set all parties in Court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. A. L. Towne, C. E. Travis, C. W. Barrett, A. Thronsen, C. J. Bulmer, and E. F. Clough are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and J. H. Carr and C. H. Covey are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and taken under advisement,	
" 21		The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $165.00 Prin. $4.09 Int. & Costs.	

May 21/02 Pltf files Mem. of costs
June 4/02 Deft files notice of appeal
" Undertaking
" Approved. E. Ex. Hoyt.
" 6/02 Plaintiff files motion
June 7/02 Plaintiff's motion & exception to
Grant exception & dismissing on appeal

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $165.06 principal, $4.09 interest, $ Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 27.00 Total Judgement $ 196.15

Done in open court this MAY 21 1902

D. C. Lohse
Justice of the Peace

No. 2503

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

I. I. Brasher — Plaintiff

vs.

Scott Gordon — Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 14.00 & Int

J. C. Traber
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Mch. 18		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 21		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Mch 21/1902	
" 26		Now comes plaintiff and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

B. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2504.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. W. Walker — Plaintiff

vs.

N. S. Sumner — Defendant

Action on acc't.

Demand $ 71.00

Frank Laning
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
Mch. 19		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
Apr. 4		Upon motion of Pltff. by his atty F. Laning it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed.	

APR 4 1902
Received, $ 50.00
In Payment
Above Cash D.P. as Undertkg
J M Walker
Pltff

No. 2505

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. B. Holland — Plaintiff

vs.

J. K. Hopkins — Defendant

Action on and a/c

Demand $ 127.70

W. B. Holland
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ Deft. Pd. $
	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00	Paid To G. W. Smith	

Feb. 19 1903 — Complaint filed

Feb. 6 — Summons issued.

" " — Summons returned and filed, showing personal service on Defendant at this Township on Feb. 6–1903.

APR. 28. 1904

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant J. K. Hopkins personally, at and in the 3d Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 6 day of Feb. 1903 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said J. K. Hopkins defendant, be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $127.70 principal. $ — interest, $ — Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 5.25 Total Judgement $

Done in open court this APR. 28. '904

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

Justice Fees	$ 4.00
Constable "	$ 1.25
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$

No. 2506.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. D. Huffman — Plaintiff

vs.

Joe P. Evangelho — Defendant

Action in Tort

Demand $ 290.00

G. L. Warlow — Attorney for Plaintiff

J. C. Traber — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 5.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
March 21		Transcript and papers on change of venue from the Justice's court of the 4th Township filed	
" 26		Defendant enters a general demurrer orally—	
" 29		Defendant files amended demurrer.	
Apr. 14		Parties stipulate that trial be set for Apr. 19-02 at 10 A.M.	
" 14		Subpoena issued in behalf of plaintiff	
" 19		" " " " " "	
" "		Parties in court and stipulate orally that the trial be postponed to 4:30 P.M. of this day—with the understanding that if defendant be unable to proceed with the trial, that defendant will pay plaintiffs costs for witnesses to date— At the time set parties in court and and the trial is postponed to April 26/02 at 10 A.M and it is ordered that defendant pay to plaintiff his costs for witness fees and mileage amounting to $11.10	
" 23		Demurrer set for hearing on apr. 23/02- at 9 A.M. Parties in court demurrer submitted and by the court overruled. and defendant enters a general denial orally,	
" 26		Subpoena served returned & filed.	
" "		at the time set parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. M. D. Huffman, J. M. Fraga, Joe Mello are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff; Manuel Alvarez sworn as interpreter, Joe P. Evangelho, A. Ramos, A. L. Fratis, J. G. Aguello and L. A. Sequeira are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff. submitted & Court finds for plaintiff for $100.—	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 100.00 principal. $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 29.10 Total Judgement $ 129.10

Done in open court this Apr. 26/02-

J. C. Traber
Justice of the Peace.

May 19/02 Parties in court and ord to argue submitted &
May 26/02 Defendants motion to strike out testimony to
" " Defendant files
" " Execution issued
" 28/02 Execution returned satisfied
June 6/02- Abstract of Judgment issued
20/02 Defendant files
Apr. 30/02- Plff. files Memo of costs
May 5/02 Deft files motion to strike out.
" 14/02 Motion submitted
15/02- Defendant files counter affidavit in motion to strike out testimony
" " Plaintiff files memo of costs in rebuttal

No. 2507.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. E. Travis — Plaintiff

vs.

A. Devechio, and A. Orsolini (co partners doing business under the firm name of A. Devechio & Co.) — Defendants

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 132.04

Frank J. Kauke — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
March 25		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 28		Now comes plaintiff by his attorney F. Kauke and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	
		D. C. St. John, Justice of the Peace	

No. 2508

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fannie Williams
Plaintiff

vs.

R. W. Ellis and
C. F. Ellis
Defendants

Action for rent

Demand $ 90.00

J. O. Traber
Attorney for Plaintiff

Graham & Wallace
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

March 26 Complaint filed and summons issued

Apr. 3 Defendants file answer

June 20 Upon motion of plaintiff trial is set for July 3/02 at 10 A.M.

" 21 Written notice of trial filed.

July 3 At the time set parties in court and the issue as to the jurisdiction of the court is first heard and C. F. Ellis and R. W. Ellis are sworn and testify in behalf of defendants and Fannie Williams and J. O. Traber are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff the matter is submitted and the court finds that this court has no jurisdiction to try the case and for that reason it is ordered that the same be dismissed

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2509-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. J. Brasher — Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. Faber — Defendant

Action on O/C

Demand $ 20.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 / " " $ 3.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Mch 26		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 26		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tpship. on Mch. 26th 1902.	
Mch 31		Defendant files a genral denial orally	
Apr 3		Upon motion of plaintiff trial is set for Apr. 7 at 2 PM	
" "		Subpoena issued for witnesses	
" "		Subpoena served, returned & filed	
" 7		At the time set all parties in Court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. James Baird, J. J. Brasher E. C. Dunn, & S. C. Longwell are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and Ed. Faber is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for $20.50 and costs.	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 20.50 principal. $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 11.30 Total Judgement $ 31.80

Done in open court this Apr. 7th 1902

P. C. Wm. Johnson
Justice of the Peace.

Apr 7		Mem. of costs filed,
" 14		Execution issued
May 2		Execution returned & filed fully satisfied

MAY 2 1902

Received, $ 31.80
In full Payment
Above Judgmt-
J. J. Brasher
Pltff-

No. 2510.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Alva E. Brown
Plaintiff

vs.

George W. Fuller
Defendant

Action on pro. Note

Demand $ 8.00 & Int. & atty fee

G. C. Truman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $	due Sh. 2.00	" " $
March 26		Complaint filed and summons issued	

No. 2511.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. L. Moore — Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs. F. C. Burnett — Defendant

Action on and a/c.

Demand $ 18.90

W. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $ dgd Stock		" " $

Mch 27 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 28 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Jp. on Mch. 27/1902

No. 2512

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The First National Bank of Fresno, *Plaintiff*

vs.

E. Wright and Mrs. E. Wright *Defendant*

Action upon an a/c.

Demand $ 32.00 & Int & atty fee

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	*Pltf. Pd. $* 1.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd. $* " " $
1902			
Mch 29		Complaint filed.	

No. 2513.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. S. Bagley-

Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Webber.

Defendant

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 31.88

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Apr. 1	Knight due St. J. 2.75	Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	

No. 2514.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. Boas — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Myer — Defendant

Action upon bank check

Demand $ 55.10 & Int

W. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Johnston & Jones
Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $ Stock Fee $ 2.00		" " $
Apr. 3		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		~~Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed~~	
" "		~~Writ of attachment issued.~~	
" 3		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Apr. 3/1902	
" 7		Defendant files answer	

No. 2515

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The First National Bank of Fresno, a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

James E. Hughes and J. M. Kerr — Defendant

Action upon a Promissory Note

Demand $ 75.00 + Int. + atty fee

L. L. Cory — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 4.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
Apr. 9		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 17		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant J. M. Kerr at this Township on April 9th 1902, and upon defendant James E. Hughes at this Township, on April 16th 1902.	
" 23		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant J. M. Kerr personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 9th day of April 1902 and upon the defendant James E. Hughes in said Township on April 16th 1902 said defendants have not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said J. M. Kerr and James E. Hughes defendants be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for, to wit: Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendants, the sum of $ 75.00 principal, $ 6.15 interest, $ 15.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs incurred herein at $ 5.50 Total Judgement $ 101.65	

Done in open court this APR 23 1902

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

June 12		Execution issued	
" 16		Execution filed unsatisfied.	
Nov 28		This Judgment is fully paid, satisfied & discharged L. L. Cory atty for pffs.	

Justice Fees	$ 4.00
Constable "	$
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$ 1.50
Witness Fees	$
	5.50

No. 2516

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. Alvarez and Martin Yribarren — Plaintiffs

vs.

H. Dennison — Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 18.15

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
	Just.F. 4.75		
Apr. 11		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	

No. 2517.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. F. Brasher
Plaintiff

vs.

John Wiener and John Doe Smith copartners doing business under the firm name and style of John Wiener & Co
Defendant

Action for labor

Demand $ 151.20

H. D. Cricketon
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	*Pltf. Pd.* $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd.* $ / " " $
Apr. 11		Complaint filed and summons issued	

No. 2518

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jos. Vinsent — Plaintiff

vs.

Emilie Perret — Defendant

Action ass and a/c

Demand $ 12.25

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Apr. 14		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 16		Now comes defendant personally and enters a general denial orally.	
" 15		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Apr. 14/1902	

No. 2519.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Alice R. Hilton
Plaintiff

vs.

H. Eddy,
Defendant

Action on Promissory Note

Demand $ 43.85

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	*Pltf. Pd. $* 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd. $*
1902	" " $		" " $
Apr. 14		Complaint filed.	

No. 2520.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. D. Morgan, and W. L. Fletcher, partners under the firm name of Morgan & Fletcher — Plaintiff

vs.

E. B. Glass — Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 67.50

Geo. L. Warlow — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Apr 14		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 15		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon deffendant at this Township on Apr. 14th. 1902	
" "		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	
" 22		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

F. C. Solon
Justice of the Peace

No. 2521.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. F. Brasher — Plaintiff

vs.

T. W. Chapman — Defendant

Action on account

Demand $ 23.00 + Int

J. C. Traber — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Apr. 14		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 15		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township upon this 15th Apr. 1902	
May 16		Upon motion of plaintiff personally by him made it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

D. A. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2522.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. J. Arnas — Plaintiff

vs.

Annie Hoelgel — Defendant

Action for moneys paid out &c

Demand $ 157.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

D. J. Hinds

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
Apr. 15	2.75	Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 16		Defendant files answer & counterclaim	
" "		Upon motion of plaintiff and with the oral consent of defendant by her attorney D. J. Hinds it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	
		P. C. St John Justice of the Peace	
" "		Summons filed	

No. 2523—

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. R. Bowling and
J. H. Brooks
Plaintiffs

vs.

H. E. Barnum
Defendant

Action on an a/c.

Demand $ 20.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	*Pltf. Pd.* $ 1.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd.* $ " " $
Apr. 16		Complaint filed.	

No. 2524

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Albert Brouge — Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Dermer — Defendant

Action on an a/c.

Demand $ 21 60

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1907	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 15 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Apr. 17		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
" 18		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed.	

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

Plaintiffs deposit of $20.00 returned to him.

No. 2525.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. T. Porter — Plaintiff

vs.

P. S. Hayes and D. A. Ryan partners as Hayes & Co. — Defendant

Action on acct & c

Demand $ 135.80

F. Kauke — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Apr. 18		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
" 19		Now comes plaintiff by his attorney and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed.	

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

196

No. 2526

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. A. Hamilton — Plaintiff

vs.

E. J. Bowst — Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ A. C. Williams

Attorney for Plaintiff

J. O. Traber

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Apr. 21 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 26 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Apr. 22nd 1902.

" 26 Defendant files demurrer.

May 5 Hearing of demurrer set May 7th '02 at 10 A.M.

" 7 Demurrer submitted and overruled and plaintiff consents that defendant have until May 10th '02 to file answer

" 10 Defendant files answer.

June 24 Trial set for July 1st 1902 at 10 A.M.

July 1 At the time set parties in court and the trial proceeds.

R. A. Hamilton is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff the matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for $8.00 and costs

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 8.00 principal. $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 5.00 Total Judgement $ 13.00

D. C. St. John

Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this JUL 3 - 1902

Sep 26 Execution issued

1903 Feb 10 Execution issued.

No. 2527

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. J. Dow — Plaintiff

vs.

L. L. Petersen — Defendant

Action on promissory Note

Demand $ 25.00 & Int

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 4.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Apr. 21		Complaint filed and summons issued.	
" 22		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Apr. 22/1902.	
" 28		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant L. L. Petersen personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 22nd day of April 1902, and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said L. L. Petersen defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and ordered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 25.00 principal, $ 2.70 interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs incurred herein of $ 6.00 Total Judgement $ 33.70	

Done in open court this Apr. 28/1902.

D. A. Johnson
Justice of the Peace.

198

No. 2528-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. Trueblood — Plaintiff

vs.

L. C. Ridley — Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 30.00

W. D. Crichton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $ Brashur due $1.75	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Apr. 21		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
" 25		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at the County of Kern, State of California, on Apr. 22/1902.	
" 25		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	
MAY 13 1902		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant L. C. Ridley personally, at and in the ____ Township, County of Kern, State of California, on the 22nd day of April 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 20- days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said L. C. Ridley defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for,	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $30.00 principal $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $8.75 Total Judgement $38.75

L. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this MAY 13 1902

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$ 1.75
Sheriff's "	$ 1.25
Serving Summons	$
Clerk Costs	$ 1.00
	8.75

May 13 Abstract of Judgment filed

No. 2529-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. W. Miscampbell and S. S. Weymouth, partners doing business under the name of Miscampbell and Weymouth, Plaintiff
vs.
C. A. Barrett, Defendant

Action on acct &c.

Demand $ 18.15

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	2.00		

Apr 22 Complaint filed and Summons issued
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued
" 25 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on April 22nd 1902.

No. 2530

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. M. Borello — Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Ross — Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 13.00

W. D. Crichton — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $ Cld		" " $
Apr. 24 1903		complaint filed and summons issued	
January 19		alias summons issued	

No. 2531

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Kutner Goldstein Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

G. W. Clark and John Doe Clark (true name H. H. Clark.
Defendants

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 32.78

T. H. Wells
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 4.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Apr 24 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued.
" 25 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendants G. W. Clark and H. H. Clark at this Township on April 24th D. 1902.
It appearing to the court that the true given name of the defendant designated as John Doe Clark is H. H. Clark it is ordered that said true name be inserted in the pleadings herein.

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant G. W. Clark and H. H. Clark personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 24th day of April 1902 and that said defendants have not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said defendants defendants be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendants G. W. Clark & H. H. Clark the sum of $ 32.78 principal, $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 18.15 Total Judgement $ 50.93

Done in open court
this APR 30 1902

A. A. Nolan
Justice of the Peace.

May 3 Execution issued
" 17 The above judgment is fully satisfied this May 17th 1902
T. H. Wells
atty for Pltff.

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$ 13.40
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$
	18.15

No. 2532.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. J. Melchonian
Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Ochs, Vaughn Peters and F. G. Normart
Defendant

Action upon a Promissory Note

Demand $60.00 + Int. & atty fee

F. Laning
Attorney for Plaintiff

Smith & Estrander
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $2.75 " " $3.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Apr. 26 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued,
" 29 Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon all defendants at this Tp. on Apr. 28/1902
May 3 Defendants file demurrer.
" 12 Defendant files answer & counterclaim
" " Trial set for May 20 to 1902 at 2 P.M.
" 13 Subpoena issued
" 20 At the time set all parties in Court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. A. J. Melchonian, D. Sweet, P. H. Aghderian, L. Hirchman, A. Easterbrook and Mrs. A. J. Melchonian are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and W. J. Ochs, V. Peters, Ben Stutt, A. B. Peters and F. G. Normart are sworn and testify in behalf of defendants. The matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.

June 12 The Court this day finds for defendants for costs
Wherefore it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff take nothing by reason of his action herein and that said defendants do have and recover of and from the plaintiff the amount of their costs herein taxed at $6.00

Done in open court
this JUN 12 1902

J. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

July 12 Defendants file notice of appeal
" " Defendants file undertaking on appeal
" " Affidavit of service of notice of appeal filed

No. 2533.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. W. Abbott — Plaintiff

vs.

Burt Sturges — Defendant

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 20.85

M. K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $4.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Apr. 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
May 5		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on May 5th, 1902.	

MAY 13 1902

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Burt Sturges personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 5th day of May 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5, days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Burt Sturges defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $20.85 principal, $ 2.25 interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.00 Total Judgement $ 29.10

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this MAY 13 1902

May 14 Abstract of Judgment issued.

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$ 1.25
Sheriff's "	$ .....
Serving Summons	$ .....
Witness Fees	$ .....
	$ 6.00

No. 2534.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Gans Brothers, a copartnership, composed of Charles Gans, William Gans, and Max Gans,
Plaintiffs
vs.
Salinger Brothers, a copartnership composed of I. Salinger, and S. Salinger
Defendants

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 52 70

T. E. Cook
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 75 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
Apr. 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 30		Now comes plaintiff and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be dismissed	

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2535

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Rosie Abker — Plaintiff

vs.

M. Glockovich — Defendant

Action unlawful detainer

Demand $ 40.00

W. B. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
Apr. 30	2.00	Complaint filed and summons issued	
May 6	2.00	Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on April 30th. 1902.	

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant M. Glockovich personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 30th day of April 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said M. Glockovich defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff, it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

" " W. B. Harris is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff. The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff as demanded in the complaint.

Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff be restored to the possession of those certain premises viz- Lots 9 & 10 of Block 3 of Darling addition to Fresno. as described in the pleadings herein and that the lease under which the defendant has heretofore held the said premises as tenant of plaintiff be and the same is hereby forfeited, and it is further ordered and adjudged that plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant Twelve Dollars being three times the amount of rent found due plaintiff from defendant, together with costs herein taxed at $ 5.00, And it is further ordered that a writ issue herein directed to the constable of this Township, directing him to forthwith place said plaintiff in possession of said premises.

Done in open court this MAY 6 1902

H. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2596

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs. L. N. Van Tassell
Plaintiff

vs.

Fred McPherson
Defendant

Action on an O/C

Demand $ 5.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1907	2.75		
Apr. 30		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
May 13		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed	

B. C. St John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2537.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. Kuno — Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs. J. W. Howell and J. W. Howell — Defendants

Action for labor

Demand $ 15.00

W. D. Crichton — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902

Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $

PROCEEDINGS. May 27/02 Def. Pd. $ 5.00 " " $

May 3 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 5 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon both defendants at this Township on May 5th 1902,

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendants personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 5th day of May 1902, and that said defendants have not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Mrs. J. W. Howell and J. W. Howell defendants be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for, less the sum of $10.00 paid to plaintiff since the commencement of this action.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendants the sum of $ 5.00 principal. $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.50 Total Judgement $ 11.50

Done in open court this MAY 27 1902

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

May 27 Execution issued.

June 18 Execution returned & filed fully satisfied.

208

No. 2538

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. M. Jones — Plaintiff

vs.

B. F. Hayes — Defendant

Action upon Brown Note

Demand $ 60.00

D. R. Church — Attorney for Plaintiff

J. Kanke — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 5.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
May 5		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 10		Defendant appears personall and mtns a general demurrer orally	
" "		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on May 5/1902	
" 26		Defendant files answer	
" "		Defendant files notice & motion to discharge the writ of attachment issued herein,	
" 27		Parties in court motion to discharge the writ of attachment issued herein argued and submitted and taken under advisement	
June 1		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	
June 1		The Court this day denies defendants motion discharge the attachment	
" 9		Trial set for June 17th 1902 at 10 A.M.	
" 17		At the time set all parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. J. R. Church is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff. The original note sued upon herein filed as evidence. The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for $60.00 and costs.	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 60.00 principal, $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 9.00 Total Judgement $ 69.00

P. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this JUN 17 1902

" 17		Defendant files notice & appeal	
" "		Defendant files undertaking on appeal,	$100.-
" "		Execution issued	
July 10		Execution returned & filed unsatisfied	

No. 2539.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ed. Hertweck — Plaintiff

vs.

T. C. Scott and Francis J. Rodee — Defendant

Action on Promissory note

Demand $ 8.20

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
May 5		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 20		Comes now the plaintiff personally and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed.	
		D. C. St. John Justice of the Peace	
" 20		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied.	

$100.-

No. 2540.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James M. Barclay Plaintiff

vs.

John Ehrman Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 28.75

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
			Burnham Admr 34.75
May 6		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 7		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on May 6th. 1902.	

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant John Ehrman personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno State of California, on the 6th day of May 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5, days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said John Ehrman defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 28.75 principal, $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.00 Total Judgement $ 34.75

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this MAY 13 1902

" 13 Execution issued

" 14 Execution returned & filed fully satisfied.

MAY 17 1902

Received, $ 34.75

In Full Payment

Above Judgment

James M Barclay

No. 2541.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Anderson
Plaintiff

vs.

G. Hendrickson, George Nettleton and W. W. Eden
Defendants

Action Claim & Delivery of Personal Property

Demand $ 100.—

A. M. Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. S. Van Winkle (W. W. Eden)
J. C. Traber for Nettleton (Attorney for Defendant)

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
		due Sr J. 3.00	
May 6		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 13		Defendant W. W. Eden enters a genral denial orally,	
" "		George Nettleton enters a genral dumurer orally,	
" 20		Plaintiff files amended complaint.	
" 22		Defendant Geo. Nettleton enters a genral dumurer orally,	
" 26		Defendant George Nettleton files amended dumurer	
" 27		Plaintiff files second amended complaint	
" "		Defendant Geo. Nettleton enters a genral denial orally	
June 4		Defendants G. Hendrickson & W. W. Eden enters a genral denial orally,	
" "		Trial set for this day at 2 o'clock P. M.	
" "		At the time set all parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds.	
"		James Anderson, G. Hendrickson, W. W. Eden and A. D. Chance are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and Geo. Nettleton is sworn and testifies in behalf defendants. The matter is submitted and taken under advisement.	
" 18		The court this day finds as follows: that plaintiff take nothing as to the defendants George Nettleton and W. W. Eden and that plaintiff have judgment against the defendant G. Hendrickson for the sum of $ 35.00	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant G. Hendrickson the sum of $ 35.00 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 5.00 Total Judgement $ 40.00

J. C. Traber
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this JUN 18 1902

212

No. 2542.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. E. Ritchey Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Howard Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 49.60

Attorney for Plaintiff
Wm Howard
a/c Butler Boyd
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
May 7		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 8		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on May 8th 1902.	
" 13		Defendant appears personally and enters a general denial orally	
" 26		Upon motion of plaintiff trial set for June 4 '02 - 10 AM	
June 4		At the time set all parties in Court and the trial proceeds. Mrs. W. E. Ritchie is sworn and testifies for plaintiff and Wm Howard and Vlannie Kilgrove are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for $31.40	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 31.40 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.25 Total Judgement $ 37.65

Done in open court this June 4/02

J. C. Johnson
Justice of the Peace.

AUG-9-1905

No. 2543

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Dr. E. C. Dunn — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Edw. Wright — *Defendant*

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 15 00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
May 9		Complaint filed	

No. 2544.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Eugenia Shattuck
Plaintiff

vs.

Ada Bauta
Defendant

Action, claim and delivery personal property

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902,	Pltf. Pd. $ ✓ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
May 12		Complaint filed and summons issued.	
" 22		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on May 21st 1902.	
" 26		Defendant appears personaly and enters a general denial orally.	

No. 2545.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. C. Lindsey — Plaintiff

vs.

Auguste Parret and Katherine Parret — Defendants

Action money paid out for the benefit Defts

Demand $ 51.45 & Cost

Everts & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Thompson & Prince
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

May 14 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 15 Summons returned filed showing personal service upon both defendants at this Township on May 14th 1902.

" 19 Defendants by their attorneys enter a general demurrer orally.

July 29 Now comes Defendants by their attys. Thompson and Prince and withdraws the demurrer herein and waives the right to file and answer.

" " It appearing to the court that the defendants herein by their attorneys have withdrawn their demurrer filed herein and have waived their right to answer herein its is ordered that the default of the said Auguste Parret and Katherine Parret be and the same is hereby entered, and upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 51.45 principal, $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 4.00 Total Judgement $ 55.45

Done in open court this JUL 29 1902

S. A. Johnson
Justice of the Peace.

Above judgment satisfied this July 29th 1902.

Everts & Ewing
Attys for Pltff

Costs 4.00

No. 2546.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Kearney Vineyard Syndicate (a corporation) — Plaintiff

vs.

H. J. Ford — Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 124.39

W. K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
May 15		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 16		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on May 16th 1902	

No. 2547

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. M. Hayden — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Swiggett — Defendant

Action on an O/C

Demand $ 48.00

M. K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 4.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

May 10 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued.
June 9 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant in the Eighth Township, County of Fresno on the 28th day of May 1902.

" 10 It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Wm Swiggett personally, at and in the 8th Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 28th day of May 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 10 days has fully expired; now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Wm Swiggett defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for,

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged, that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 48.00 principal, $ 6.72 interest, $ — Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 8.25 Total Judgement $ 62.97

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this JUN 10 1902

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons &c	$ 3.50
Witness Fees	$
	$ 8.25

June 10 Execution issued

No. 2548.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John McGinnis
Plaintiff

vs.

Bedshager Kevorkgian
Defendant

Action claim & delivery of personal property

Demand $

J. McGinnis
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
May 15		Com plaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Jp. on May 15/02.	

No. 2549

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. F. Brasher — Plaintiff

vs.

B. A. Maxson — Defendant

Action on acct

Demand $ 62.25

W. D. Crichton — Attorney for Plaintiff

J. Kanke — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902 | Pltf. Pd. $ 5.75 | PROCEEDINGS. | Deft. Pd. $ 60.60

May 17 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued
" 22 Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on May 17th 1902.
" " Defendant by his attorney enters a general demurrer orally,
" 27 Defendant files answer
June 17 Parties in court and stipulate that trial be set for June 25th. 1902 at 10 A.M.
" " Offer to allow judgment filed by defendant.
" " Subpoena issued in behalf of plaintiff
" 18 Subpoena issued in behalf defendant.
" 24 Defendant files amended answer;
" " At the time set all parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. F. F. Brasher, J. D. Morgan, E. H. Morgan, W. L. Fletcher, H. Olson, B. A. Maxson and J. W. Green are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and B. A. Maxson, E. P. Clark and H. A. Maxson are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant the matter is submitted and taken under advisement.
" 26 The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $48.00 & costs.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff.. do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 48.00 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 12.60 Total Judgement $ 60.60

Done in open court this JUN 26 1902

J. Kanke
Justice of the Peace.

June 27 Plaintiff files Memo of costs.

Received, $ 60.60 in full Payment Above Judgment
F. F. Brasher
Pltff

JUL 1 - 1902

No. 2550.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank M. Borello — Plaintiff

vs.

Yick Lee — Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 15.70

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 5.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

May 19 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued
" 27 Writ of attachment returned and filed levied
Aug. 28 Summons returned & filed with officers return that defendant cannot be found in the county of Fresno.
" 29 Plaintiff files affidavit for publication of summons.
" " Ordered that service of summons herein be made by the publication thereof in the Sunday Mirror a newspaper published in the City of Fresno and that said publication be made once a week for two months — for the reason as appears by the affidavit filed herein
Nov. 25 Plaintiff files affidavit of publication of summons
" " It appearing to me from the affidavit of service of summons by publication filed herein that the same was done in accordance with the Court's order made on August 29th 1902 and that service was complete on the 1st day of November 1902, and it further appearing to me that said defendant Yick Lee has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 20 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said defendant herein Yick Lee be and the same is hereby entered - and that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 15.00 principal, $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 15.25 ; and Judgement $ 30.25

J. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this NOV 25 1902

1902
Nov. 25 Execution issued. Dec. 7/02 Execution filed fully satisfied

No. 2551.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The German Savings and Loan Society — Plaintiff

vs.

Theodore Schilling — Defendant

Action upon a judgment.

Demand $ 200.00 & Cost

Goodfellow & Eells
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

May 20 Complaint filed and summons issued

June 12 Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on May 26th 1902.

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Theodore Schilling at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno State of California, on the 26th day of May 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Theodore Schilling defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 200.00 principal, $ 70.00 interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $ ~ Total Judgement $ .....

S. C. Bishop
Justice of the Peace.

No. 2552.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs. Alice R. Hilton — Plaintiff

vs.

W. M. Joy — Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $78.31 + Int. + Atty fee

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $1.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
May 20		Complaint filed.	

No. 2553.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Chas. A. Schweizer — Plaintiff

vs.

E. B. Lang — Defendant

Action upon Promissory Note

Demand $ 15.00 & Int. & atty fee

E. S. Van Meter.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
May 21	Pltf. Pd. $ Fees	Complaint filed	
		Summons issued.	
MAY 25 1903		Alias Summons issued.	
DEC. 31. 904			

No. 2554

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Hockenberry
Plaintiff
vs.
Fred Banta and
Ada M. Banta
Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 250.00 + Int. + atty fee

M. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

H. S. Rush
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
May 21		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued,	
" 22		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on May 21st 1902.	
" 26		Defendants enter a general denial orally,	
" 28		Upon motion of Plaintiff personally it is ordered that the above entitled case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

H. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2555.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Porteous
Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Atty and
Mrs. J. H. Atty
Defendant

Action upon Prom note

Demand $ 33.00 + Int. + atty fee

H. T. Porter
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	*Pltf. Pd. $*	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd. $*
1902	" " $ 1.90		" " $
May 21		Complaint filed and summons issued	

No. 2556.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. F. Brasher — Plaintiff

vs.

James E. Lowery — Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 56 00

F. F. Brasher — Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Crichton — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
	Am St Jf 20		
May 21		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 22		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on May 22nd 1902.	
" 27		Defendant enters a general denial orally.	

No. 2557

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. F. Brashier — Plaintiff

vs.

H. G. Bailey — Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 12 55

F. F. Brashier
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
May 21		Complaint filed and summons issued	

No. 2558-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. F. Brasher
Plaintiff

vs.

C. R. Timms
Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 25.00 + Int

F. F. Brasher
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	*Pltf. Pd. $* / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd. $* / " " $
May 21		Complaint filed and summons issued	

No. 2559-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. F. Brasher — Plaintiff

vs.

T. Bratton — Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 46.00

F. F. Brasher
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
May 21		Complaint filed and summons issued	
June 11		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on June 11th 1902.	
" 25		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, and a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant T. Bratton personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 11th day of June 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: five days has duly expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for,	
		Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 46.00 principal, $ —— interest, $ 5.25 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ ..... Total Judgement $ 51.25	
		Done in open court this JUN 25 1902	
		F. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.	
June 25		Execution issued	

Justice Fees	$ 4.00
Constable "	$ 1.25
Special "	$
Sheriff "	$
	$ 5.25

No. 2560.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Andrew Olson
Plaintiff

vs.

E. J. Clark
Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery of personal property

Demand $ Prop. or $50.00 & 10.00 Damages

Andrew Olson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
May 21		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 27		Defendant appears personally and enters a general demurrer orally.	

No. 2561.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Porteous — *Plaintiff*

vs.

Isaac Sweet — *Defendant*

Action upon an o/c.

Demand $ 20 60/100

W. T. Porter — *Attorney for Plaintiff*

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	*Pltf. Pd.* $ 2 00	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd.* $
1902	" " $		" " $
May 23		Complaint filed and summons issued	

No. 2562.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O. F. Brasher
Plaintiff

vs.

C. L. Anderson
Defendant

Action upon acct.

Demand $ 14.75

O. F. Brasher
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $ Issued 25	Burnham	" " $

May 23 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued
" 23 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on May 23rd 1902,

June 13 it appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant C. L. Anderson personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 23rd day of May 1902 and that said defendant ha[s] not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said C. L. Anderson defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for,

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 14.75 principal, $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.25 Total Judgement $ 22.00

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this JUN 13 1902

June 13 Execution issued.

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$ 2.50
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$
	$7.25

No. 2563-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. F. Brasher — Plaintiff

vs.

George Coulisk — Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 7.00

F. F. Brasher — Attorney for Plaintiff

Burnham — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
		Burnham pays for	14.25

May 23 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 24 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on May 23rd 1902,

June 12 It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant George Coulisk personally and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 23rd day of May 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to-wit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said George Coulisk, defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for,

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 7.00 principal, $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.25 Total Judgement $ 14.25

E. C. Stylson
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this JUN 12 1902

June 12 Execution issued.

" " Execution returned & filed satisfied

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$ 2.50
Sheriff "	$
Serving Subpoenas	$
Witness Fees	$
	7.25

JUN 13 1902
Received, $ 14.25
In Full Payment
Above Judgment
F. F. Brasher
Pltff

JUN 12 1902
Received, $ 2.50
In Full Payment
Above Const fee
W. J. Burnham

No. 2564

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. W. Zuckerman — Plaintiff

vs.

A. H. Schmitz — Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 7 00

W. J. Porter — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	2 00		5 & 4 Pd. in by Deputy Sheriff 9 00
May 24		Complaint filed and summons issued.	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 26		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed.	
		J. C. S. Johns Justice of the Peace	
" "		Summons filed	

MAY 26 1902

Received, $ 9 00

In full Payment

Above demand + costs.

W. J. Porter

Atty for Pltff.

No. 2565

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Barrett Hicks Company
(a corporation)
Plaintiff

vs.

L. A. Austin
Defendant

Action on an O/C

Demand $ 7.85

J. Hockenberry
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
May 24	2.75	complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 24		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed—	
		S. A. Holm Justice of the Peace	
May 24		Summons filed	

No.....

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

.....

.....

..... *Plaintiff*

vs.

.....

..... *Defendant*

Action.....

.....

Demand $.....

.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

.....

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	*Pltf. Pd. $*.....	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd. $*.....
1.....	" " *$*.....		" " *$*.....

No......

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

.....

.....

.....

Plaintiff

vs.

.....

.....

Defendant

Action.....

.....

Demand $.....

.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

.....

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	*Pltf. Pd. $*.....	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd. $*.....
1.....	" " *$*.....		" " *$*.....

No.....

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

.....

.....

.....

Plaintiff

vs.

.....

.....

Defendant

Action.....

.....

Demand $.....

.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

.....

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	*Pltf. Pd. $*.....	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd. $*.....
1.....	" " *$*.....		" " *$*.....

No......

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

.....

.....

.....

Plaintiff

vs.

.....

.....

Defendant

Action.....

.....

Demand $.....

.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

.....

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	*Pltf. Pd. $*.....	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd. $*.....
1.....	" " *$*.....		" " *$*.....

No.....

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

.....

.....

..... *Plaintiff*

vs.

.....

.....

..... *Defendant*

Action.....

.....

Demand $.....

.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

.....

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	*Pltf. Pd. $*.....	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd. $*.....
1.....	" " *$*.....		" " *$*.....

Republican Publishing Co.
1842 TULARE ST. FRESNO, CAL.
NO. 162
When a duplicate of this Book is desired, it is only necessary to give the above number.